arXiv:math/0411253v1 [math.SG] 11 Nov 2004

ON SYMPLECTIC COVERINGS OF THE PROJECTIVE PLANE

G.-M. GREUEL AND VIK.S. KULIKOV

Abstract. We prove that a resolution of singularities of any finite covering of the projective plane branched along a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along a line "at infinity" can be embedded as a symplectic submanifold into some projective algebraic manifold equipped with an integer Kähler symplectic form (assuming that if $\bar{H}$ has negative nodes, then the covering is non-singular over them). For cyclic coverings we can realize this embeddings into a rational algebraic 3–fold. Properties of the Alexander polynomial of $\bar{H}$ are investigated and applied to the calculation of the first Betti number $b_1(\overline{X}_n)$ of a resolution $\overline{X}_n$ of singularities of n-sheeted cyclic coverings of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ branched along $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along a line "at infinity". We prove that $b_1(\overline{X}_n)$ is even if $\bar{H}$ is an irreducible Hurwitz curve but, in contrast to the algebraic case, that it can take any non-negative value in the case when $\bar{H}$ consists of several irreducible components.

0. Introduction

The notion of Hurwitz curves in the projective plane $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with respect to a linear projection $\mathrm{pr} : \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \{p_\infty\} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$, ($p_\infty$ denotes the centre of the projection pr) was introduced in [18] and is a natural generalization of the notion of plane algebraic curves (in [18], Hurwitz curves are called "semi-algebraic" curves). A precise definition of Hurwitz curves can be found, for example, in [7]. In this paper we give another equivalent (see Lemma 1.1) definition of Hurwitz curves as follows. Let $\mathbb{C}^2_i$ be two copies of the affine plane $\mathbb{C}^2$, $i = 1, 2$, with coordinates (u_i, v_i), $u_2 = 1/u_1$ and $v_2 = v_1/u_1$, which cover $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus p_\infty$, such that pr is given by $(u_i, v_i) \to u_i$ in the charts $\mathbb{C}^2_i$. A set $\bar{H} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \{p_\infty\}$, closed in $\mathbb{CP}^2$, is called a *Hurwitz curve of degree* m if, for $i = 1, 2$,

The first author was partially supported by the DFG-Schwerpunkt "Globale Methoden in der komplexen Geometrie" and the second by the RFBR (02-01-00786) and by the DFG (436 RUS 17/84/03).

$\bar{H} \cap \mathbb{C}^2_i$ coincides with the set of zeros of an equation

$$F_i(u_i, v_i) := v_i^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j,i}(u_i) v_i^j = 0 \tag{1}$$

such that

(*i*) $F_i(u_i, v_i)$ is a C^∞–smooth complex valued function in $\mathbb{C}^2$;

(*ii*) the function $F_i(u_i, v_i)$ has only a finite number of critical values, that is, there are finitely many values of u_i, say $u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,n_i}$, such that the polynomial equation

$$v_i^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j,i}(u_{i,0}) v_i^j = 0 \tag{2}$$

has no multiple roots for $u_{i,0} \notin \{u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,n_i}\}$;

(*iii*) if $v_{i,j}$ is a multiple root of equation (2) for $u_{i,j} \in \{u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,n_i}\}$, then, in a neighbourhood of the point $(u_{i,j}, v_{i,j})$ (which we call a *critical point* of $\bar{H}$), the set $\bar{H}$ coincides with the solution a complex analytic equation.

Note that after rescaling $\widetilde{v}_i = \varepsilon v_i$, $0 < \varepsilon << 1$, Hurwitz curves become symplectic surfaces in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ (see also proof of Theorem 3.1).

More general, one can consider so called *topological Hurwitz curves* which have *cone singularities* (see the definition of cone singularities in [7]).

A Hurwitz (resp. topological Hurwitz) curve $\bar{H}$ is called *irreducible* if $\bar{H} \setminus M$ is connected for any finite set $M \subset \bar{H}$, and we say that a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ *consists of* k *irreducible components* if

$$k = \max \#\{\text{connected components of } \bar{H} \setminus M\},$$

where the maximum is taken over all finite sets $M \subset \bar{H}$.

Let H be an *affine Hurwitz curve*, that is, $H = \bar{H} \cap (\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus L_\infty)$, where L_∞ is a line which is a fibre of pr being in general position with respect to $\bar{H}$. Then the fundamental group $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (\bar{H} \cup L_\infty))$ does not depend on the choice of L_∞ and belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}$ of so called C-groups.

By definition, a *C-group* is a group together with a finite presentation

$$G_W =< x_1, \dots, x_m \mid x_i = w_{i,j,k}^{-1} x_j w_{i,j,k},\ w_{i,j,k} \in W >, \tag{3}$$

where $W = \{w_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{F}_m \mid 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m,\ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant h(i,j)\}$ is a subset of elements of the free group $\mathbb{F}_m$ (it is possible that $w_{i_1,j_1,k_1} = w_{i_2,j_2,k_2}$ for $(i_1, j_1, k_1) \neq (i_2, j_2, k_2)$), generated by free generators $x_1, \dots, x_m$ and $h : \{1, \dots, m\}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}$ is some function. Such a presentation is called

a *C-presentation* (C, since all relations are conjugations). Let $\varphi_W : \mathbb{F}_m \to G_W$ be the canonical epimorphism. The elements $\varphi_W(x_i) \in G$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, and the elements conjugated to them are called the *C-generators* of the C-group G. Let $f : G_1 \to G_2$ be a homomorphism of C-groups. It is called a *C-homomorphism* if the images of the C-generators of G_1 under f are C-generators of the C-group G_2. C-groups will be considered up to C-isomorphisms.

A C-presentation (3) is called a *Hurwitz C-presentation of degree* m if for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ the word $w_{i,i,1}$ coincides with the product $x_1 \dots x_m$, and a C-group G is called a *Hurwitz C-group of degree* m if it possesses a Hurwitz C-presentation of degree m. In other words, a C-group G is a Hurwitz C-group of degree m if there are C-generators $x_1, \dots, x_m$ generating G such that the product $x_1 \dots x_m$ belongs to the center of G. Note that the degree of a Hurwitz C-group G is not defined canonically and depends on the Hurwitz C-presentation of G. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the class of all Hurwitz C-groups.

Let $\bar{H}$ be a Hurwitz (resp. topological Hurwitz) curve of degree m. A Zariski – van Kampen presentation of $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H)$ (where $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L_\infty$ and L_∞, a fibre of pr, is in general position with respect to $\bar{H}$) defines on π_1 a structure of a Hurwitz C-group of degree m (see [12]), and in [12], it was proved that any Hurwitz C-group G of degree m can be realized as the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H)$ for some Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ with singularities of the form $w^m - z^m = 0$, $\deg \bar{H} = 2^n m$, where n depends on the Hurwitz C-presentation of G. Since we consider C-groups up to C-isomorphisms, the class $\mathcal{H}$ coincides with the class $\{ \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H) \}$ of fundamental groups of the complements of affine Hurwitz (resp. topological Hurwitz) curves.

A free group $\mathbb{F}_n$ with fixed free generators is a C-group and for any C-group G the canonical C-epimorphism $\nu : G \to \mathbb{F}_1$, sending the C-generators of G to the C-generator of $\mathbb{F}_1$, is well defined. Denote by N its kernel. Note that if all C-generators of a C-group G are conjugated to each other (such C-group is called *irreducible*), then N coincides with[1] G'.

Let G be a C-group. The C-epimorphism ν induces the following exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to N/N' \to G/N' \xrightarrow{\nu_*} \mathbb{F}_1 \to 1.$$

[1]For a group G we use the standard notation G' for its commutator subgroup and G'' for the commutator subgroup of G'.

The C-generator of $\mathbb{F}_1$ acts on N/N' by conjugation $\widetilde{x}^{-1}b\widetilde{x}$, where $n \in N$ and $\widetilde{x}$ is one of the C–generators of G. Denote by h this action and by $h_{\mathbb{C}}$ the induced action on $N/N' \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The characteristic polynomial $\Delta(t) = \det(h_{\mathbb{C}} - t\mathrm{Id})$ is called the *Alexander polynomial* of the C-group G (if the vector space $N/N' \otimes \mathbb{C}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is infinite dimensional, then, by definition, the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t) \equiv 0$). For a (topological) Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of the group $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H)$ is called the *Alexander polynomial* of $\bar{H}$. Note that the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of a (topological) Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ does not depend on the choice of the generic line L_∞.

Let $G =< x_1, \ldots, x_m \mid r_1, \ldots, r_n >$ be a C-presentation of a C-group G and $\mathbb{F}_m$ be the free group freely generated by the C-generators $x_1, \ldots, x_m$. Denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ the Fox derivative ([4]), that is, the endomorphism of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}_m]$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ of the free group $\mathbb{F}_m$ into itself, such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} : \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}_m] \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}_m]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map defined by the following properties

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dfrac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_i} & = & \delta_{i,j} \\ \dfrac{\partial uv}{\partial x_i} & = & \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + u\dfrac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \end{array} \tag{4}$$

for any $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}_m]$. It is well known (it is proved, for example, in [19] in the case of knot groups and generalized to the case of C-groups in [10]) that the greatest common divisor of the minors of order $m-1$ in the matrix

$$\nu_*\big(\tfrac{\partial r_i}{\partial x_j}\big) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n\times m}(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$$

coincides with the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of G up to a factor $\pm t^k$ invertible in $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$, where r_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are the defining relations of G and $\nu_* : \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}_m] \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}_1] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ is induced by the canonical C-epimorphism $\nu : \mathbb{F}_m \to \mathbb{F}_1$.

The properties of the Alexander polynomials of plane algebraic curves and their application to the calculation of the first Betti number of a cyclic covering of the projective plane are well-known (see, for example, [22], [15], [20], [6], [8], [10], [9]). One of the aims of this article is to generalize these results to the case of Hurwitz curves and to apply them to the calculation of the first Betti number of a cyclic covering of the projective plane branched along a Hurwitz curve.

The main results of this article are the following theorems and corollaries.

Theorem 0.1. *Let $\bar{H}$ be a (topolgical) Hurwitz curve of degree d and $\Delta(t)$ its Alexander polynomial. Then*

(*i*) $\Delta(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$;
(*ii*) $\Delta(0) = \pm 1$;
(*iii*) *the roots of* $\Delta(t)$ *are* d*-th roots of unity;*
(*iv*) *the action of* $h_{\mathbb{C}}$ *on* $(N/N') \otimes \mathbb{C}$ *is semisimple.*

Moreover, the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ of degree d is a divisor of the polynomial $(t-1)(t^d-1)^{d-2}$ (see Theorem 5.6) and if $\bar{H}$ consists of k irreducible components, then the multiplicity of the root $t = 1$ of its Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ is equal to $k - 1$ (see Theorem 5.9).

Theorem 0.2. *If* $\bar{H}$ *is an irreducible (topological) Hurwitz curve, then*
(*i*) $\Delta(t)$ *is a reciprocal polynomial, i.e.* $\Delta(t) = t^{\deg \Delta(t)} \Delta(t^{-1})$;
(*ii*) $\deg \Delta(t)$ *is an even number;*
(*iii*) $\Delta(1) = 1$.

Corollary 0.3. *Let* $\bar{H}$ *be an irreducible (topological) Hurwitz curve of* $\deg \bar{H} = p^n$*, where* p *is a prime number. Then*
(*i*) $\Delta(t) \equiv 1$;
(*ii*) *the group* π_1'/π_1'' *is a finite group, where* $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H)$.

Note also that if J is an almost complex structure in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ compatible with the Fubini – Studi symplectic form and if $\bar{H}$ is a J-holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ of degree m, that is, the class $[\bar{H}]$ equals $m[\mathbb{CP}^1]$ in $H_2(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathbb{Z})$, then $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (\bar{H} \cup L_\infty))$ is a Hurwitz C-group of degree m, where L_∞ is one of the J-lines being in general position with respect to $\bar{H}$. Indeed, if we chose a pencil of pseudo-holomorphic lines having L_∞ as a member, then, by the Zariski–van Kampen Theorem, a presentation of π_1 is defined by a braid monodromy factorization of $\bar{H}$ with respect to the chosen pencil. Therefore π_1 is a C-group, and similar to the case of Hurwitz curves, it is easy to show (see the proof of Theorem 6.1 from [12]) that it is a Hurwitz C-group of degree m. Thus, the Alexander polynomial of a pseudo-holomorphic curve can be defined similarly and has the same properties as in the case of Hurwitz curves.

The homomorphism $\nu : \pi_1 \to \mathbb{F}_1$, where $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H)$ is the fundamental group of the complement of an affine Hurwitz curve, defines an infinite unramified cyclic covering $f = f_\infty : X'_\infty \to X' = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H$. We have $H_1(X'_\infty, \mathbb{Z}) = N/N'$ and the action of h on $H_1(X'_\infty, \mathbb{Z})$ coincides with the action of a generator of the covering transformation group of the covering f_∞. As it follows from [13], the group $H_1(X'_\infty, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\mathrm{mod}_n : \mathbb{F}_1 \to \mu_n = \mathbb{F}_1/\{h^n\}$ the

natural epimorphism to the cyclic group μ_n of degree n. The covering f_∞ can be factorized through the cyclic covering $f_n : X'_n \to \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H$ associated with the epimorphism $\mathrm{mod}_n \circ \nu$, $f_\infty = g_n \circ f_n$. Since a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ has only analytic singularities, the covering f_n can be extended to a smooth map $\overline{f}_n : \overline{X}_n \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ branched along $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along L_∞ (if n is not a divisor of $\deg \bar{H}$, then $\overline{f}_n$ is branched along L_∞), where $\overline{X}_n$ is a smooth 4-fold. The action h induces an action $\overline{h}_n$ on $\overline{X}_n$ and an action $\overline{h}_{n*}$ on $H_1(\overline{X}_n, \mathbb{Z})$.

In section 4, we show (see Theorem 4.1) that any such $\overline{X}_n$ can be embedded as a symplectic submanifold to a projective rational 3-fold on which the symplectic structure is given by an integer Kähler form.

Theorem 0.4. *Let $\overline{X}_n$ be a resolution of singularities of an n-sheeted cyclic covering branched along a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along L_∞ and associated with the epimorphism $\mathrm{mod}_n \circ \nu : \pi_1 \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then the first Betti number*

$$b_1(\overline{X}_n) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_1(\overline{X}_n, \mathbb{C}) = r_{n,\neq 1},$$

where $r_{n,\neq 1}$ is the number of roots of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of the curve $\bar{H}$ which are n-th roots of unity not equal to 1.

Theorems 0.1, 0.2, 0.4 and Corollary 0.3 imply the following corollaries.

Corollary 0.5. *Let $\overline{X}_n$ be a resolution of singularities of an n-sheeted cyclic covering branched along a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along L_∞. If $\deg \bar{H}$ and n are coprime, then $b_1(\overline{X}_n) = 0$.*

Corollary 0.6. *Let $\overline{X}_n$ be a resolution of singularities of an n-sheeted cyclic covering branched along an irreducible Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along L_∞. Then $b_1(\overline{X}_n)$ is an even number.*

Moreover, we show that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an irreducible Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_k$ such that for some n (for example, one can take $n = 6$, see Proposition 6.5) a resolution of singularities $\overline{X}_n$ of an n-sheeted cyclic covering, branched along $\bar{H}_k$, has the first Betti number $b_1(\overline{X}_{k,n}) = 2k$. In addition, we show that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_k$ consisting of two irreducible components such that the resolution of singularities $\overline{X}_{k,6}$ of a cyclic covering of the projective plane, branched along $\bar{H}_k$, has the first Betti number $b_1(\overline{X}_{k,6}) = k$. Recall that $b_1(\overline{X}_n)$ is always even if $\bar{H}$ is a plane algebraic curve, hence $\bar{H}_k$ cannot be algebraic if k is odd. Recall also that Moishezon ([18]) proved the existence of an infinite sequence $\bar{H}_i$ of irreducible cuspidal Hurwitz curves of degree 54 with exactly 378 cusps and 756 nodes which have pairwise

distinct braid monodromy type. In particular, they are pairwise non-isotopic, and almost all of them are not isotopic to an algebraic cuspidal curve.

Corollary 0.7. *Let $\overline{X}_n$ be a resolution of singularities of a cyclic covering of the projective plane branched along a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ consisting of k irreducible components and, maybe, along L_∞. If n is divisible by $\deg \bar{H}$, then $b_1(\overline{X}_n) = \deg \Delta(t) - k + 1$.*

Corollary 0.8. *Let $\overline{X}_n$ be a resolution of singularities of a cyclic covering of the projective plane of any degree n branched along an irreducible Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along L_∞. If $\deg \bar{H} = p^k$, where p is a prime number, then $b_1(\overline{X}_n) = 0$.*

Corollary 0.9. *Let $\overline{X}_{p^k}$ be a resolution of singularities of a cyclic covering of the projective plane of degree p^k branched along any irreducible Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along L_∞, where p is a prime number. Then $b_1(\overline{X}_n) = 0$.*

Note that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we show that there is a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_k$ consisting of $k+1$ components and which is the branch curve of a 2-sheeted cyclic covering a resolution of singularities $\overline{X}_{k,2}$ which has $b_1(\overline{X}_{k,2}) = k$ (see Proposition 6.6). In particular, in our example the Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_1$ has $\deg \bar{H}_1 = 2^{10}$, the number of singular points of $\bar{H}_1$ is equal to 2^{16}, and all its singular points are of the form $w^4 - z^4 = 0$.

Recently, Auroux and Katzarkov (see [1], [2]) proved the following theorem. Let $(\overline{X}, \omega)$ be a compact symplectic 4-manifold with symplectic form ω with class $[\omega] \in H^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z})$. Fix an ω-compatible almost complex structure J and the corresponding Riemannian metric g. Let L be a line bundle on $\overline{X}$ whose first Chern class is $[\omega]$. Then, for $k >> 0$, the line bundle $L^{\otimes k}$ admits many approximately holomorphic sections so that one can choose three of them which give an approximately holomorphic generic covering $f_k : \overline{X} \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ of degree $N_k = k^2\omega^2$ branched over a cuspidal Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_k$ (possibly, with negative nodes).

Any such covering $f_k : \overline{X} \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ of degree N_k, branched over a cuspidal Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$, determines a monodromy μ, that is, an epimorphism $\mu : \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H) \to \Sigma_{N_k}$ to the symmetric group Σ_{N_k} with additinal properties of genericity. On the other hand, any homomorphism $\mu : \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H) \to \Sigma_N$, such that $\mu(\pi_1)$ acts transitively on a set consisting of N elements, defines an unramified covering $f : X \to \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H$ of degree N. The covering f can be extended to a covering $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ branched over the Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, over L_∞. In this paper

we prove (see Corollary 3.2) that if $\widetilde{X}$ has arbitrary analytic singularities (and if $\bar{H}$ has negative nodes, we assume that the covering space is non–singular over them), then a resolution $\overline{X}$ of singularities of $\widetilde{X}$ can be equipped with a symplectic structure.

The proofs of Theorems 0.1, 0.2 and Corollary 0.3 are given in section 5 and section 6 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 0.4.

Acknowledgement. The second author would like to express his gratitude to University of Kaiserslautern for its hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

1. Representation of Hurwitz curves as sections of line bundles

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *The definitions of Hurwitz curves in* $\mathbb{CP}^2$ *given in* [7] *and in the Introduction are equivalent.*

Proof. Recall the definition of Hurwitz curves given in [7]. Let F_1 be a relatively minimal ruled rational surface, $\mathrm{pr} : F_1 \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ the ruling, R a fiber of pr, and E_1 the exceptional section, $E_1^2 = -1$. Identify $\mathrm{pr} : F_1 \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ with a linear projection $\mathrm{pr} : \mathbb{CP}^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ with center at a point $p \in \mathbb{CP}^2$ (p is the blow down of E_1 to the point).

The image $\bar{H} = f(S) \subset F_1$ of a smooth map $f : S \to F_1 \setminus E_1$ of an oriented closed real surface S is called a Hurwitz curve (with respect to pr) of degree m if there is a finite subset $Z \subset \bar{H}$ such that:

(i) f is an embedding of the surface $S \setminus f^{-1}(Z)$ and for any $s \notin Z$, $\bar{H}$ and the fiber $R_{\mathrm{pr}(s)}$ of pr meet at s transversely and with positive intersection number;
(ii) for each $s \in Z$ there is a neighbourhood $U \subset F_1$ of s such that $\bar{H} \cap U$ is a complex analytic curve, and the complex orientation of $\bar{H} \cap U \setminus \{s\}$ coincides with the orientation transported from S by f;
(iii) the restriction of pr to $\bar{H}$ is a finite map of degree m.

To show that the definition in the Introduction implies the definition in [7], let us perform several monoidal transforms with centers at singular points of $\bar{H}$ (and at the singularities of the proper transforms of $\bar{H}$) to resolve all singular points of $\bar{H}$. Denote by $\sigma : \widetilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ the composition of these monoidal transformations and by S the proper transform of $\bar{H}$. Then S is a smooth real surface and $f = \sigma_{|S}$ is a smooth map. To define an orientation on S, let us choose an orientation at each non-critical point p of $\mathrm{pr}_{|\bar{H}}$ so that the local intersection

number of $\bar{H}$ and the fibre R passing through p at the point p will be equal to $+1$. Obviously, these orientations are compatible for all non-singular points of $\bar{H}$. Since near singular points this orientation coincides with the orientation given by the complex analytic structure (recall that $\bar{H}$ is complex analytic near critical points of $\mathrm{pr}_{|\bar{H}}$), this orientation can be extended to the preimages of these critical points.

To show that the definition in [7] implies the definition in the Introduction, let us choose a fibre R of pr and put $\mathbb{C}^2 = F_1 \setminus (R \cup E_1)$. Let (u, v) be coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that the restriction of pr is given by $(u, v) \to u$. Let $(u, v_1(u)), \ldots, (u, v_m(u))$ be the coordinates of the intersection points of $\bar{H}$ and the fibre R of pr over a non-critical value u. Consider

$$F(u, v) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (v - v_i(u)). \tag{5}$$

Obviously, the function $F(u, v)$, defined everywhere outside the fibres over critical values, is smooth and can be extended to a function on all $\mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying the properties of the definition given in the Introduction. ■

Let a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_0$ of degree m be given by equations (1). A smooth isotopy $h_t : \mathbb{CP}^2 \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{CP}^2 \times [0, 1]$ is called a *H-isotopy* if for each $t \in [0, 1]$ the image $\bar{H}_t = h_t(\bar{H}_0)$ is a Hurwitz curve given by equations

$$v_i^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j,i}(u_i, t) v_i^j = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

$c_{j,i}(u_i, 0) = c_{j,i}(u_i)$ for all i, j. (Note that in the definition of H-isotopy given in [7], it is assumed that the number of critical values of $\bar{H}_t$ does not depend on t.) It is easy to see that if $\bar{H}_0$ and $\bar{H}_1$ are H isotopic and a line L_∞ is generic with respect to both Hurwitz curves $\bar{H}_0$ and $\bar{H}_1$, then $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H_0$ and $\mathbb{C}_2 \setminus H_1$ are diffeomorphic.

Denote by $p_\infty = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (\mathbb{C}_1^2 \cup \mathbb{C}_2^2)$ the center of the projection pr. In what follows we will assume that the fibre of pr over $u_2 = 0$ is generic with respect to $\bar{H}_0$. Denote it by L_∞. Obviously, there is a smooth H-isotopy h_t identical outside a small neighbourhood U of L_∞ such that the function $F_2(u_2, v_2, 1)$ defining $\bar{H}_1 = h_1(\bar{H}_0)$ in $\mathbb{C}_2^2$ coincides with the function $v_2^m - 1$ at the points (u_2, v_2) with $\mid u_2 \mid < \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. In what follows we will assume that

$$\begin{array}{l} L_\infty \textit{ is given by } u_2 = 0 \textit{ and } \bar{H} \textit{ is given by the equation} \\ v_2^m - 1 = 0 \textit{ in a neighbourhood of } L_\infty. \end{array} \tag{6}$$

Let $u_{1,j}$ be a critical value of a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_0$ of degree m given in $\mathbb{C}^2_1$ by equation $F_1(u_1, v_1) = 0$, that is, the number of the different roots of the equation

$$F_1(u_{1,j}, v_1, 0) = 0 \tag{7}$$

is less than m, and let v_{1,j_0} be a root of equation (7) of multiplicity one. It is obvious that there is a smooth H-isotopy h_t identical outside a small neighbourhood $U = \{\mid u_1 - u_{1,j} \mid < \varepsilon\}$ such that the function $F_1(u_1, v_1, 1)$ defining $\bar{H}_1 = h_1(\bar{H}_0)$ in $\mathbb{C}^2_1$ is such that $v_1 = v_{1,j_0}$ is a root of the equation $F_1(u_1, v_1, 1) = 0$ for all u_1 such that $\mid u_1 - u_{1,j} \mid < \varepsilon_1$ for some positive $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$. Therefore, in what follows we can (and we will) assume that if $u_{1,j}$ is a critical value of $\bar{H}$, then

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{there is an } \varepsilon > 0 \textit{ such that } F_1(u_1, v_1) \textit{ defining } \bar{H} \textit{ is} \\ \textit{analytic at } (u_1, v_1) \textit{ for } \mid u_1 - u_{1,j} \mid < \varepsilon \textit{ and all } v_1. \end{array} \tag{8}$$

Let us consider a line bundle $p : \mathcal{L}(k) \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ associated with the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^2}(k)$. Recall its definition. The projective plane $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with homogeneous coordinates $(z_0 : z_1 : z_2)$ is covered by three charts $\mathbb{C}^2_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$, with coordinates (u_i, v_i), $u_1 = z_1/z_0$, $v_1 = z_2/z_0$, $u_2 = z_0/z_1$, $v_2 = z_2/z_1$, $u_3 = z_0/z_2$, $v_3 = z_1/z_2$. The bundle $\mathcal{L}(k)$ is covered by three charts $W_i = \mathbb{C}^2_i \times \mathbb{C}^1_i$ with the third coordinate w_i, $w_1 = w_2/u_2^k$, $w_1 = w_3/u_3^k$, $w_2 = w_3/v_3^k$, and the restriction of $p_{|W_i}$ coincides with the projection to the first factor.

Lemma 1.2. *The functions $w_i = F_i(u_i, v_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, defining $\bar{H}$ define a smooth section s of $\mathcal{L}(m)$ over $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \{p_\infty\}$.*

Proof. In $\mathbb{C}^2_1 \cap \mathbb{C}^2_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(u_1, v_1) = \; & v_1^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j,1}(u_1) v_1^j = (\frac{v_2}{u_2})^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j,1}(\frac{1}{u_2})(\frac{v_2}{u_2})^j = \\ & (\frac{1}{u_2})^m (v_2^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j,1}(\frac{1}{u_2}) u_2^{m-j} v_2^j). \end{aligned}$$

The functions

$$F_2(u_2, v_2) = v_2^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j,2}(u_2) v_2^j$$

and

$$v_2^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j,1}(\frac{1}{u_2}) u_2^{m-j} v_2^j$$

coincide with each other, since they are smooth and for almost all (except a finite number of) values $u_{2,0}$ of u_2 the polynomials

$$v_2^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j,2}(u_{2,0})v_2^j$$

and

$$v_2^m + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j,1}(\frac{1}{u_{2,0}})u_{2,0}^{m-j}v_2^j$$

have the same sets of roots. ■

Lemma 1.3. *Let $f_0 : S^3 \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a smooth function on $S^3 = \{(u,v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid u\overline{u} + v\overline{v} = \varepsilon^2\}$, $0 < \varepsilon << 1$, such that f_0 coincides with the function $v^m - 1$ in a neighbourhood $U \subset S^3$ of the circle $u = 0$. Then there is a smooth function $F : S^3 \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that*

(i) $F(u,v,0) = f_0(u,v)$;
(ii) $F(u,v,t) = v^m - 1$ *for* $(u,v) \in U$ *and* $t \in [0,1]$;
(iii) $F(u,v,1) = v^m - 1$.

Proof. Since S^3 is simply connected, there is a lift $\widetilde{f_0} : S^3 \to \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^*$ of the function f_0 such that $f_0 = e \circ \widetilde{f_0}$, where $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ with complex coordinate x and $e : \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is the universal covering given by $y = e^x$. Without loss of generality one can assume that $\widetilde{f_0}(0,\varepsilon) = \ln(1-\varepsilon^m) + \pi i$. Denote by $f_1 : S^3 \to \mathbb{C}^*$ the function $v^m - 1$ and by $\widetilde{f_1} : S^3 \to \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^*$ its lift such that $\widetilde{f_1}(0,\varepsilon) = \ln(1-\varepsilon^m) + \pi i$. Therefore we have $\widetilde{f_{0|U}} \equiv \widetilde{f_{1|U}}$.

Consider a function $\widetilde{F} : S^3 \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$x = t\widetilde{f_1}(u,v) + (1-t)\widetilde{f_0}(u,v).$$

Obviously, the function $F = e \circ \widetilde{F}$ has the desired properties. ■

Lemma 1.4. *There is a real number ε_1, $0 < \varepsilon_1 << 1$, and a smooth section $\overline{s}_m$ of $\mathcal{L}(m)$ over $\mathbb{CP}^2$ such that*

(i) $\bar{H} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus B(\varepsilon_1)$, *where* $B(\varepsilon_1) = \{u_3\overline{u}_3 + v_3\overline{v}_3 \leqslant \varepsilon_1^2\}$ *is a ball in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with center at p_∞;*
(ii) over $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus B(\varepsilon_1)$ the section $\overline{s}_m$ coincides with the section s from Lemma 1.2;
(iii) $\overline{s}_m$ *is complex analytic in a neighbourhood of the line L_∞.*

Proof. By the definition of Hurwitz curves, there is a ball $B(\varepsilon_1) = \{u_3\overline{u}_3 + v_3\overline{v}_3 \leqslant \varepsilon_1^2\}$ for some positive ε_1 such that $\bar{H} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus B(\varepsilon_1)$.

The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_m$ is trivial over $B(\varepsilon_1)$. Therefore the restriction of the section s from Lemma 1.2 to $\partial B(\varepsilon_1) = S^3$ defines a function $f_0 : S^3 \to \mathbb{C}^*$. Denote by $F : S^3 \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^*$ a function existence of which is proved in Lemma 1.3 (in the notations of Lemma 1.3, we put $u = u_3$, $v = v_3$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1$). Let us choose $\varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1$ and a smooth monotone function $r : [\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1] \to [0,1]$ such that $r(\varepsilon_1) = 0$ and $r(\varepsilon_2) = 1$. Put $h : B(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = B(\varepsilon_1) \setminus B(\varepsilon_2) \to \partial B(\varepsilon_1)$ to be the map given by

$$h(u_3, v_3) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1 u_3}{\sqrt{u_3\overline{u}_3 + v_3\overline{v}_3}}, \frac{\varepsilon_1 v_3}{\sqrt{u_3\overline{u}_3 + v_3\overline{v}_3}}\right),$$

$\widetilde{F}(u_3, v_3, t) = h^*(F)$, and

$$\overline{F}(u_3, v_3) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{u_3\overline{u}_3 + v_3\overline{v}_3}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)^m \left(\widetilde{F}(u_3, v_3, r(\sqrt{u_3\overline{u}_3 + v_3\overline{v}_3})) + 1\right) - 1.$$

Then the section $\widetilde{s}$, defined as follows,

$$\widetilde{s}(p) = \begin{cases} s(p) & \text{for } p \in \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus B(\varepsilon_1) \\ \overline{F}(u_3, v_3) & \text{for } p \in B(\varepsilon_1) \setminus B(\varepsilon_2) \\ v_3^m - 1 & \text{for } p \in B(\varepsilon_2), \end{cases}$$

satisfies all conditions of Lemma 1.4, except that, possibly, it is not smooth, but only continuous at the points from $B = (\partial B(\varepsilon_1) \cup \partial B(\varepsilon_2)) \setminus U$, where U is a neighbourhood of L_∞. By standard theorems from analysis, there is a smooth section $\overline{s}_m$ close enough to $\widetilde{s}$ which coincides with $\widetilde{s}$ outside a small enough neighbourhood V of B such that $\overline{V} \cap (\bar{H} \cup L_\infty) = \emptyset$, where $\overline{V}$ is the closure of V. ∎

2. Symplectic varieties with analytic singularities

Let Y be a projective complex manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y = n$ and ω a Kähler form on Y, $[\omega] \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. Consider (Y, ω) as a symplectic manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} Y = 2n$. A closed subvariety X of Y is called a *symplectic variety with analytic singularities* if there are open subsets $U_0 \subset U \subset Y$ such that the closure $\overline{U_0}$ in Y is a subset of U, $X \cap U$ is a complex analytic subset in U and $X \setminus \overline{U}_0$ is a smooth symplectic submanifold. Denote by $\operatorname{Sing} X$ the set of points of X in which X is not smooth. Then $\operatorname{Sing} X$ is a projective algebraic subvariety of Y.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a symplectic variety with analytic singularities in a projective complex manifold Y with Kähler form ω. Let $Z \subset \operatorname{Sing} X$ be a nonsingular projective subvariety of Y, $\sigma : \overline{Y} \to Y$ the monoidal transformation of Y with center in Z, and $\overline{X}$ be the proper*

transform of X. Then there is a Kähler form $\overline{\omega}$ on $\overline{Y}$ such that $\overline{X}$ is a symplectic subvariety with analytic singularities of $(\overline{Y}, \overline{\omega})$.

Proof. The manifold $\overline{Y}$ is projective algebraic. Consider an embedding $i : \overline{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^N$ to some projective space and denote by $\varphi = i \circ \sigma^{-1}$ a rational map from Y to $\mathbb{CP}^N$. Let $\Gamma \subset Y \times \mathbb{CP}^N$ be the closure of the graph of φ and p_i, $i = 1, 2$, be the projections from $Y \times \mathbb{CP}^N$ to the factors. The morphisms i and σ define the morphism $\sigma \times i : \overline{Y} \to \Gamma \subset Y \times \mathbb{CP}^N$. Since the composition $p_2 \circ (\sigma \times i) : \overline{Y} \to \overline{Y}$ is an isomorphism, $p_{2|\Gamma} : \Gamma \to \overline{Y}$ is an isomorphism too. Moreover, if we identify $\overline{Y}$ with Γ by means of $p_{2|\Gamma}$, then $p_{1|\Gamma}$ coincides with σ.

Denote by $\Omega = \Omega_N$,

$$\Omega_N = \frac{i}{(\sum_{j=0}^N \overline{z}_j z_j)^2} \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{j \neq k} (\overline{z}_j z_j dz_k \wedge d\overline{z}_k - \overline{z}_j z_k dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_k),$$

the Fubini – Studi symplectic form on $\mathbb{CP}^N$. It is a Kähler integer form. Consider the restriction of a form $\omega_\varepsilon = p_1^*(\omega) + \varepsilon p_2^*(\Omega)$ to Γ. It is a Kähler form on Γ for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

Choose open neighbourhoods $V_0 \subset V \subset Y$ of $\operatorname{Sing} X$ such that $V \cap X$ is an analytic subvariety and the closure $\overline{V}_0$ of V_0 in Y is a subset of V. Denote by $X_0 = X \setminus V_0$. It is compact and $\sigma^{-1}_{|X_0} : X_0 \to \overline{X}_0 = \sigma^{-1}(X_0)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $\overline{X}_0$ is compact.

Evidently, the restriction of ω_ε to $\Gamma \cap p_1^{-1}(V)$ is a symplectic form at each nonsingular point of $\Gamma \cap p_1^{-1}(V)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, since $\Gamma \cap p_1^{-1}(V)$ is an analytic set in $p_1^{-1}(V)$. Since the restriction of ω to X_0 is a symplectic form at each point of X_0 and $\overline{X}_0$ is compact, we can choose ε to be small enough, so that the restriction of $\omega_\varepsilon = p_1^*(\omega) + \varepsilon p_2^*(\Omega)$ to $\overline{X}_0 = \Gamma \cap p_1^{-1}(X_0)$ is a symplectic form at each point of $\overline{X}_0$. If we take $\varepsilon = \frac{m}{n}$ rational, then $n\omega_\varepsilon$ is an integer form. ■

3. Symplectness of coverings of the projective plane branched along Hurwitz curves

In this section we use the notations and assumptions of section 1.

Let $\bar{H}$ be a Hurwitz curve, possibly with *negative nodes*, that is, in a neighbourhood U of each critical point p, it is either given by an analytic equation or $\bar{H} \cap U$ consists of two smooth branches meeting transversely at p with intersection number -1, and each branch of $\bar{H} \cap U$ meets the fiber $\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(\mathrm{pr}(p))$ transversely at p with intersection number equals $+1$.

We fix a point $p \in \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (\bar{H} \cup L_\infty)$. Consider the fundamental group $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H, p)$ of the complement of the affine Hurwitz curve

$H = (\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus L_\infty) \cap \bar{H}$. Let us choose a point $x \in \bar{H} \setminus \operatorname{Sing} \bar{H}$ and consider a line $L \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ meeting H transversely at x. Let $\gamma \subset L$ be a circle of small radius with center at x. The choice of an orientation on $\mathbb{C}^2$ defines an orientation on γ. Let Γ be a loop consisting of a path l in $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H$, joining p with a point $q \in \gamma$, the loop γ (with positive direction) starting and ending at q, and a return path to p along l in the opposite direction. Such a loop Γ (and the corresponding element in π_1) is called a *geometric generator* (with center at x) of the fundamental group $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H, p)$. It is well known that π_1 is generated by geometric generators.

For each critical point s_i of H let us choose a neighbourhood $U_i \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ such that $H \cap U_i$ is given (in local coordinates in U_i) by an analytic equation or, if s_i is a negative node, then it consists of two smooth branches meeting transversely at p. Note that if s_i is a negative node, then $\pi_1(U_i \setminus H, p_i)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and generated by two commuting geometric generators.

Choose smooth paths γ_i lying in $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H$ and connecting the points p_i with p. This choice defines homomorphisms $\psi_i : \pi_1(U_i \setminus H, p_i) \to \pi_1$. We call $\psi_i(\pi_1(U_i \setminus H, p_i)) = G_i$ *the local fundamental group* of the singular point s_i. The local fundamental groups are defined uniquely up to conjugation in π_1.

Consider a homomorphism $\mu : \pi_1 \to \Sigma_N$ from the fundamental group $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H)$ of the complement of the affine Hurwitz curve $H = (\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus L_\infty) \cap \bar{H}$ to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$ such that its image $Im\,\mu$ acts transitively on a set consisting of N elements.

Let s_i be a negative node of H. As it was mentioned above, the local fundamental group G_i is generated by two commuting geometric generators, say $\Gamma_{i,1}$ and $\Gamma_{i,2}$. Denote by $N_{i,j} = \{1 \leqslant n \leqslant N \mid \mu(\Gamma_{i,j})(n) \neq n\}$. We say that μ is *good at the negative node* s_i if $N_{i,1} \cap N_{i,2} = \emptyset$. The homomorphism μ is called a *monodromy of degree* N if it is good at all negative nodes.

The homomorphism μ defines an unramified covering $f = f_\mu : Y \to \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H$ of degree N. This covering can be extended to a finite ramified covering $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{Y} \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ branched along $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along L_∞.

To describe this extension, consider a geometric generator Γ with center at $x \in H \setminus \operatorname{Crit} H$, where $\operatorname{Crit} H$ is the set of critical points of H. The image $\mu(\Gamma)$ in Σ_N is a product of cyclic permutations $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n_x}$ (it is possible that the orders of some σ_l are equal to one). Let $\sigma_l = (n_{1,l}, \ldots, n_{r_l,l})$ be a permutation of order r_l , where $1 \leqslant n_{j,l} \leqslant N$, then the number of the preimages $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(x)$ is equal to n_x and each point y from $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(x)$ corresponds to a cyclic permutation σ_l. Near the point

y_l corresponding to a cyclic permutation σ_l, the covering $\widetilde{f}$ is a cyclic covering of degree r_l branched along H and it is locally isomorphic to a subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^3$ given by $w^{r_l} = v - v_j(u)$, where $v - v_j(u) = 0$ is a local equation of $\bar{H}$ at the point x (see (5)). These local isomorphisms define on $\widetilde{Y}$ a structure of a smooth manifold at each point y lying over $H \setminus \operatorname{Crit} H$.

Let $s_i \in \operatorname{Crit} H$ be a negative node. As it was mentioned above, the local fundamental group G_i is generated by two geometric generators $\Gamma_{i,1}$ and $\Gamma_{i,2}$. The images $\mu(\Gamma_{i,j})$ in Σ_N are products of cyclic permutations $\sigma_{1,i,j}, \ldots, \sigma_{k_{i,j},i,j}$. Let $\sigma_{e,i,j} = (n_{1,l,i,j}, \ldots, n_{r_{l,i,j},l,i,j})$ be a permutation of order $r_{l,i,j}$. Put $N_{i,1,2} = \{1 \leqslant n \leqslant N \mid \mu(\Gamma_{i,1})(n) = n \text{ and } \mu(\Gamma_{i,2})(n) = n\}$. Since μ is a monodromy, the set $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(s_i)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the union of the cyclic permutations $\sigma_{l,i,j}$, $j = 1, 2$, of orders bigger than one and the set $N_{i,1,2}$. Moreover, if $y \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}(s_i)$ corresponds to an element from $N_{i,1,2}$, then $\widetilde{f}$ is an isomorphism of a neighbourhood V of y and its image $\widetilde{f}(V)$, and if $y \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}(s_i)$ corresponds to a cyclic permutation $\sigma_{l,i,j}$ of order bigger than one, then the restriction of $\widetilde{f}$ to a neighbourhood of y is a cyclic covering of a neighbourhood of s_i of degree $r_{l,i,j}$ branched along the j-th branch of the negative node. It is locally isomorphic to a subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^3$ given by $w^{r_{l,i,j}} = v - v_j(u)$, where $v - v_j(u) = 0$ is a local equation of the j-th branch of $\bar{H}$ at the point s_i. These local isomorphisms define on $\widetilde{Y}$ a structure of smooth manifold at each point $y \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}(s_i)$.

Let $x = s_i \in \operatorname{Crit}_{\text{analytic}} H$, that is, s_i is a critical point of H which is not a negative node. Then over a small neighbourhood U of s_i in which H is given by an analytic equation, the preimage $\overline{f}^{-1}(U)$ is the disjoint union of n_{s_i} open neighbourhoods being in one-to-one correspondence with the orbits of the action of $\mu(G_i)$ on the set consisting of N elements. By the theorem of Grauert, Remmert and Stein (for a proof see [21]) we know that, over a neighbourhood U of s_i the variety $\widetilde{Y}$ can be equipped with the structure of a two dimensional complex analytic variety.

By assumption, in a neighbourhood U of L_∞ (where $U = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus B(R)$ and $B(R) \subset \mathbb{C}^2_1$ is a ball of big radius R) the curve $\bar{H}$ coincides with the algebraic curve $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ of degree m given in U by the equation $v_2^m - 1 = 0$. If we choose the base point p to lie in U, we can consider a Zariski – van Kampen presentations of π_1 and of the fundamental group $\widetilde{\pi}_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (\bar{C} \cup L_\infty), p)$ having the same sets of generators and it is easy to see that these presentations define an epimorphism $e : \widetilde{\pi}_1 \to \pi_1$. The composition $\mu \circ e$ defines a ramified covering $\widetilde{g} : \widetilde{Z} \to$

$\mathbb{CP}^2$ branched along $\bar{C}$ and, maybe, along L_∞. It is easy to see that the coverings $\widetilde{f}$ and $\widetilde{g}$ are isomorphic over U. Therefore the variety $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(U)$ can be identified with $\widetilde{g}^{-1}(U)$ by means of an isomorphism $h : \widetilde{f}^{-1}(U) \to \widetilde{g}^{-1}(U)$ so that $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(U)$ can also be considered as a complex analytic variety. Let $i : \widetilde{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{m_\infty}$ be an embedding such that $\widetilde{g}$ is defined by the projection $(z'_0 : z'_1 : \cdots : z'_{m_\infty}) \to (z'_0 : z'_1 : z'_2)$. Put $z_j = h^*(z'_j)$, $j = 3, \ldots, m_\infty$ and

$$w'_{j,\infty} = \frac{z_j}{z_0}, \qquad j = 3, \ldots, m_\infty. \tag{9}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\bar{H}$ be a Hurwitz curve with negative nodes, $\mu : \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H) \to \Sigma_N$ a monodromy, and $\widetilde{f} : Y \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ the covering associated with the monodromy μ. Then $\widetilde{Y}$ can be embedded into some projective space $\mathbb{CP}^M$ as a symplectic subvariety with analytic singularities.*

Proof. Below, for each point $p \in \mathbb{C}^2_1$, we denote by $V_p \subset U_p$ small balls $V_p = \{\mid u_1 - u_1(p) \mid^2 + \mid v_1 - v_1(p) \mid^2 < \delta_1\}$ and $U_p = \{\mid u_1 - u_1(p) \mid^2 + \mid v_1 - v_1(p) \mid^2 < \delta_2\}$ of radii δ_1 and δ_2, $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 << 1$, and by $\rho_p : \mathbb{CP}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ we denote a smooth non negative function such that $\rho_{p|V_p} \equiv 1$ and $\rho_{p|\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus U_p} \equiv 0$.

To construct the desired embedding, let us choose two open coverings $\{U_i\}$ and $\{V_i\}$ of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ as follows.

For each point $s_i \in \mathrm{Crit}_{\mathrm{analytic}} \bar{H}$ which is not a negative node, let us choose small neighbourhoods $V'_{s_i} \subset V_{s_i} \subset U_{s_i} \subset U'_{s_i}$ such that

- (c_1) the curve $U'_{s_i} \cap \bar{H}$ is analytic in U'_{s_i};
- (c_2) the preimage $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(U'_{s_i})$ splits into the disjoint union of neighbourhoods of the points $y_{i,j} \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}(s_i)$;
- (c_3) the radius of the ball V'_{s_i} (resp. U_{s_i}) is strictly less than the radius of V_{s_i} (resp. U'_{s_i}).

Let $V'_\infty \subset V_\infty \subset U_\infty \subset U'_\infty$ be open neighbourhoods of L_∞ such that

- (c_4) in U'_∞, the Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ coincides with the curve $\bar{C}$ given in U'_∞ by equation $v_2^m - 1 = 0$;
- (c_5) $U'_\infty \cap V'_{s_i} = \emptyset$ for all neighbourhoods V'_{s_i} of singular points s_i chosen above;
- (c_6) the closure $\overline{V}'_\infty \subset V_\infty$ and the closure $\overline{U}_\infty \subset U'_\infty$.

Let $\rho_\infty : \mathbb{CP}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth non negative function such that $\rho_{\infty|V_\infty} \equiv 1$ and $\rho_{\infty|\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus U_\infty} \equiv 0$.

Let us add the neighbourhoods U_∞ and V_∞ to the sets $\{U_{s_i}\}$ and $\{V_{s_i}\}$ chosen above.

Next, for each point $p \in \mathbb{C}^2_1 \setminus ((\cup V_{s_i}) \cup V_\infty)$, we can find open neighbourhoods $V_p \subset U_p$ of p such that

- (c_7) $U_p \cap V'_{s_i} = \emptyset$ and $U_p \cap V'_\infty = \emptyset$ for the neighbourhoods V'_{s_i} and V'_∞ chosen above;
- (c_8) the preimage $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(U_p)$ splits into the disjoint union of neighbourhoods of the points $y_j \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}(p)$.
- (c_9) if $p \in U'_{s_i}$ (resp. $p \in U'_\infty$) for some neighbourhood U'_{s_i} (resp. U'_∞) chosen above, then $U_p \subset U'_{s_i}$ (resp. $U_p \subset U'_\infty$);
- (c_{10}) if $p \notin (\cup_{s_i \in \mathrm{Crit}_{\mathrm{analytic}}\bar{H}} U'_{s_i}) \cup U'_\infty$, then $U_p \cap ((\cup_{s_i \in \mathrm{Crit}_{\mathrm{analytic}}\bar{H}} U_{s_i}) \cup U_\infty) = \emptyset$.

Let us add the neighbourhoods U_p and V_p to the sets $\{U_{s_i}\}$ and $\{V_{s_i}\}$ chosen above (here, one of $s_i = \infty$). As a result, we obtain two open coverings $\mathcal{V} = \{V_p\}$ and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_p\}$ of $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

For $U' = U'_\infty$ let $(w_{3,\infty}, \dots, w_{m_\infty,\infty})$ be functions in $\widetilde{Y} \setminus \widetilde{f}^{-1}(L_\infty)$ defined by $w_{j,\infty} = \widetilde{f}^*(\rho_\infty) w'_j$ for $j = 3, \dots, m_\infty$, where the functions w'_j were defined in (9).

For each U'_{s_i}, where $s_i \in \mathrm{Crit}_{\mathrm{analytic}}\bar{H}$, there are complex analytic functions $w'_{1,s_i}, \dots, w'_{m_{s_i},s_i}$ in $\widetilde{U}'_{s_i} = \widetilde{f}^{-1}(U'_{s_i})$ such that these functions together with $\widetilde{f}^*(u_1)$ and $\widetilde{f}^*(v_1)$ give an analytic embedding of $\widetilde{U}'_{s_i}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{m_{s_i}+2}$. Denote by $w_{j,s_i} = \widetilde{f}^*(\rho_{s_i}) w'_{j,s_i}$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m_{s_i}$, functions in $\widetilde{Y}$.

By construction of the open coverings, the preimage $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(V_p) = \coprod \widetilde{V}_{p,j}$ (resp. $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(U_p) = \coprod \widetilde{U}_{p,j}$) splits into disjoint union of $m_p = n_p$ connected neighbourhoods $\widetilde{V}_{p,j}$ (resp. $\widetilde{U}_{p,j}$), $j = 1, \dots, n_p$. If $p \in \bar{H}$, then a neighbourhood $\widetilde{U}_{p,j}$ is isomorphic to a subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^3$ given in coordinates $(u_1, v_1, w'_{j,p})$ by

$$(w'_{j,p} - w^0_{j,p})^{r_{j,p}} = v_1 - v_{1,p}(u_1),$$

where $v_1 - v_{1,p}(u_1) = 0$ is the equation of $\bar{H}$ in U_p. Extend the functions $w'_{j,p}$ by putting $w'_{j,p|\widetilde{U}_{p,l}} \equiv 0$ for $l \neq j$ and choose constants $w^0_{j,p}$, $j = 1, \dots, m_p$, so that the functions $(u_1, v_1, w'_{1,p}, \dots, w'_{m_p,p})$ define a smooth embedding of $\widetilde{U}_p$ to $\mathbb{C}^{m_p+2}$. Denote by $w_{j,p} = \widetilde{f}^*(\rho_p) w'_{j,p}$, $j = 1, \dots, m_p$, functions in $\widetilde{Y}$.

Note that if $y \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}(\bar{H}) \cap \widetilde{V}_{p,j} \cap \widetilde{U}_{q,j}$, where $p, q \neq \infty$ and $p, q \notin \mathrm{Crit}_{\mathrm{analytic}}\bar{H}$, then by the definition of the functions $w_{j,p}$ and $w_{j,q}$, we have $r_{j,p} = r_{j,q} = r_j$ and there is a r_j-th root $\zeta_{p,q}$ of unity such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} w_{j,q} & = \rho_q(u_1, v_1)(\zeta_{p,q}(w_{j,p} - w^0_{j,p}) + w^0_{j,p}) \\ w_{j',q} & \equiv 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{for } j' \neq j \end{array} \tag{10}$$

in a neighbourhood of y.

Similarly, if $y \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}(\bar{H}) \cap \widetilde{V}_{p,j} \cap \widetilde{U}_q$ (resp. if $y \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}(\bar{H}) \cap \widetilde{V}_q \cap \widetilde{U}_{p,j}$), where $q = \infty$ or $q \in \mathrm{Sing}_{\mathrm{analytic}} \bar{H}$, then by the definition of the functions $w_{j,p}$ and $w_{i,q}$ and by properties (c_1), (c_4), (c_9), and (c_{10}), we have

$$(w_{j,p} - w^0_{j,p})^{r_j} = v_1 - F(u_1) \tag{11}$$

and

$$w_{i,q} = \rho_q(u_1, v_1) h_i(u_1, v_1, w_{j,p}) \qquad \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m_q \tag{12}$$

(resp. $w_{j,p} = \rho_p(u_1, v_1) h_j(u_1, v_1, w_{1,q}, w_{2,q}, w_{3,q}, \ldots, w_{m_q,q})$, here $w_{1,q}$ and $w_{2,q}$ are constants if $q = \infty$) in a neighbourhood of y, where F and all h_i (resp. h_j) are analytic functions, and $v_1 - F(u_1) = 0$ is an analytic equation of some branch of $\bar{H}$.

If $p \notin \bar{H} \cup L_\infty$, then we can assume that $\widetilde{f}$ defines an isomorphism of the neighbourhoods $\widetilde{U}_{p,j}$ and U_p for $j = 1, \ldots, m_p = N$. Choose N different constants $w^0_{j,p}$ and define functions $w_{j,p} = \widetilde{f}^*(\rho_p) w'_{j,p}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m_p$, in $\widetilde{Y}$, where the function $w'_{j,p}$ is defined in $\widetilde{U}_p$ as follows

$$w'_{j,p}(q) \equiv \begin{cases} w^0_{j,p} & \text{if } q \in \widetilde{U}_{p,j} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \notin \widetilde{U}_{p,j}. \end{cases}$$

Choose a finite covering $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_0 = \{\widetilde{V}_{p_i,j} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k,\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m_{p_i}\} \cup \{\widetilde{V}_\infty\}$ of $\widetilde{Y}$, set

$$M = m_\infty + \sum_{j=1}^{k} m_{p_j},$$

$w_3 = w_{3,\infty}, \ldots, w_{m_\infty} = w_{m_\infty,\infty}$, and enumerate the set of functions

$$\{w_{j,p_i} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k,\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m_{p_i}\}$$

by the numbers $m_\infty + 1, \ldots, M$.

Consider a linear projection $p : \mathbb{CP}^M \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ given by

$$(z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : \cdots : z_M) \to (z_0 : z_1 : z_2).$$

The base locus of p is a projective space $P \simeq \mathbb{CP}^{M-3}$ given by equations $z_0 = z_1 = z_2 = 0$. The restriction of p to $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{CP}^M \setminus P$ defines on $\mathcal{L}$ the structure of a vector bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^2$ the zero section of which is given by $z_3 = \cdots = z_M = 0$. Over the charts $\mathbb{C}^2_i$ with coordinates (u_i, v_i), $i = 1, 2, 3$, the bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is trivial, it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^M_i \simeq \mathbb{C}^2_i \times \mathbb{C}^{M-2}_i$. In particular, $(z_3/z_0, \ldots, z_M/z_0)$ are coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^{M-2}_1$.

Over $\mathbb{C}^2_1$, consider a map $\alpha' : \widetilde{f}^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^2_1) \to \mathbb{C}^M_1$ given by

$$\alpha'(y) = (\widetilde{f}^*(u_1)(y), \widetilde{f}^*(v_1)(y), w_3(y), \ldots, w_M(y)).$$

Since for $p_i \neq \infty$ each U_{p_i} is a subset of $\mathbb{C}^2_1$ and all functions $w_{j,p_i} \equiv 0$ at the points lying over the complement of U_{p_i}, the map α' can be

extended to a map $\alpha : \widetilde{Y} \to \mathcal{L}$ as follows. Over the neighbourhood $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(V'_\infty)$ the map α equals $i \circ h$, where h was defined above and i is a linear embedding of $\mathbb{CP}^{m_\infty}$ to $\mathbb{CP}^M$ given by

$$i((z_0 : \ldots : z_{m_\infty})) = (z_0 : \ldots : z_{m_\infty} : 0 : \ldots : 0).$$

It is easy to see that α is an embedding such that $\alpha(\widetilde{V}'_{s_i})$ and $\alpha(\widetilde{V}'_\infty)$ are analytic subsets of $\mathcal{L}$ for $\widetilde{V}'_\infty = \widetilde{f}^{-1}(V'_\infty)$ and for all neighbourhoods $\widetilde{V}'_{s_i} = \widetilde{f}^{-1}(V'_{s_i})$, $s_i \in \mathrm{Crit}_{\mathrm{analytic}}\bar{H}$.

Denote by Ω the restriction of the Fubini – Studi form Ω_M to $\mathcal{L}$. In the chart $\mathbb{C}^M_1$, it has the form

$$\Omega = \frac{i\sum\limits_{k=1}^{M}(dw_k \wedge d\overline{w}_k + \sum\limits_{j\neq k}(\overline{w}_j w_j dw_k \wedge d\overline{w}_k - \overline{w}_j w_k dw_j \wedge d\overline{w}_k))}{(1 + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{M}\overline{w}_j w_j)^2}, \tag{13}$$

where $w_k = \frac{z_k}{z_0}$ and $w_1 = u_1$, $w_2 = v_1$.

Denote by the same symbol $\overline{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ a collection of two positive numbers and the linear transformation $\overline{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{CP}^M \to \mathbb{CP}^M$ given by

$$(z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : \ldots : z_M) \to (z_0 : z_1 : \varepsilon_1 z_2 : \varepsilon_2 z_3 : \ldots : \varepsilon_2 z_M).$$

Denote by $\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ the restriction of the form Ω to $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}} = (\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(\widetilde{Y})$. Let us show that there exist a positive constant c_1 and a positive function $c_2(t)$, $t \in (0, c_1]$, such that $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is a symplectic in $\mathcal{L}$ for all $\overline{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ with $\varepsilon_1 \leqslant c_1$, $\varepsilon_2 \leqslant c_2(\varepsilon_1)$. Note that if ε_1 is small enough, then the image of $\bar{H}$ under the map $(z_0 : z_1 : z_2) \to (z_0 : z_1 : \varepsilon_1 z_2)$ becomes symplectic.

For each $\overline{\varepsilon}$, the form $\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is a symplectic form at the points from the neighbourhoods $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(\widetilde{V}'_{s_i})$, where s_i is an analytic singular point of $\bar{H}$, and from $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(\widetilde{V}'_\infty)$, since $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is an analytic subvariety of $\mathcal{L}$ at these points.

For each point y not belonging to the ramification locus of $\widetilde{f}$, the variety $\widetilde{Y}$ is given locally at $\alpha(y)$ by equations $w_j = F_j(u_1, v_1)$, $j = 3, \ldots, M$, where $F_j(u_1, v_1)$ are smooth functions in a neighbourhood of $\widetilde{f}(y)$. Therefore the variety $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is given locally at $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$ by equations $w_j = \varepsilon_2 F_j(u_1, \frac{v_1}{\varepsilon_1})$, $j = 3, \ldots, M$. It is easy to see that for each fixed ε_1 and for ε_2 being small enough, the form $\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is symplectic at $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$, since the variety $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is very close to the algebraic variety given by $w_j = 0$, $j = 3, \ldots, M$, which is symplectic.

Consider a point $y \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}(\bar{H})$ belonging to the ramification locus of $\widetilde{f}$ and such that $\widetilde{f}(y) \notin \widetilde{U}_p$ for $p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{\mathrm{analytic}}\bar{H}$ and for $p = \infty$. By (10), renumbering the coordinates $w_3, \dots, w_M$, we can assume that $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is given in a neighbourhood of $\alpha(y)$ by equations

$$\begin{array}{rl} (w_3 - w_{3,0})^r & = v_1 - F(u_1), \\ w_j & = \rho_j(u_1, v_1)h_j(w_3), \quad j \geqslant 4, \end{array} \tag{14}$$

where $r \geqslant 2$, ρ_j are smooth functions, $h_j = \zeta_{3,j}(w_3 - w_{3,0}) + w_{j,0}$ are analytic functions, $v_1 - F(u_1) = 0$ is the equation of a branch of $\bar{H}$ at the point $\widetilde{f}(y)$, and the point $\alpha(y) = (u_{1,0}, F(u_{1,0}), w_{3,0}, \dots, w_{M,0})$. Then the variety $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is given by equations

$$\begin{array}{rl} \varepsilon_1(w_3 - \varepsilon_2 w_{3,0})^r & = \varepsilon_2^r(v_1 - \varepsilon_1 F(u_1)), \\ w_j & = \varepsilon_2\rho_j(u_1, \frac{v_1}{\varepsilon_1})h_j(\frac{w_3}{\varepsilon_2}), \quad j \geqslant 4. \end{array} \tag{15}$$

in a neighbourhood of $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y) = (u_{1,0}, \varepsilon_1 F(u_{1,0}), \varepsilon_2 w_{3,0}, \dots, \varepsilon_2 w_{M,0})$.

Denote by $A_1 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_1}(\alpha(y))$, $A_2 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{u}_1}(\alpha(y))$, $B_j = \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial w_3}(\alpha(y))$, $C_{j,1} = \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial u_1}(\alpha(y))$, $C_{j,2} = \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial \overline{u}_1}(\alpha(y))$, $D_{j,1} = \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial v_1}(\alpha(y))$, $D_{j,2} = \frac{\rho_j}{\partial \overline{v}_1}(\alpha(y))$, $\rho_{j,0} = \rho_j(\alpha(y))$, $h_{j,0} = h_j(w_{3,0})$, $j = 4, \dots, M$. It follows from (15) that at the point $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} dv_1 & = & \varepsilon_1(A_1 du_1 + A_2 d\overline{u}_1) \\ d\overline{v}_1 & = & \varepsilon_1(\overline{A}_2 du_1 + \overline{A}_1 d\overline{u}_1) \end{array} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} dw_j & = & \rho_{j,0}B_j dw_3 + \varepsilon_2 h_{j,0}(C_{j,1}du_1 + C_{j,2}d\overline{u}_1 + D_{j,1}\frac{dv_1}{\varepsilon_1} + D_{j,2}\frac{d\overline{v}_1}{\varepsilon_1}) \\ d\overline{w}_j & = & \rho_{j,0}\overline{B}_j d\overline{w}_3 + \varepsilon_2 \overline{h}_{j,0}(\overline{C}_{j,2}d\overline{u}_1 + \overline{C}_{j,1}du_1 + \overline{D}_{j,2}\frac{dv_1}{\varepsilon_1} + \overline{D}_{j,1}\frac{d\overline{v}_1}{\varepsilon_1}), \end{array} \tag{17}$$

$j = 4, \dots, M$.

If we substitute (16) to (17), we obtain that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} dw_j & = & \rho_{j,0}B_j dw_3 + \varepsilon_2\nu_j \\ d\overline{w}_j & = & \rho_{j,0}\overline{B}_j d\overline{w}_3 + \varepsilon_2\overline{\nu}_j, \end{array} \tag{18}$$

where the forms ν_j and $\overline{\nu}_j$ do not depend on $\overline{\varepsilon}$ for $j = 4, \dots, M$.

It follows from (16) and (18) that for each very small $\overline{\varepsilon}$ the tangent space of $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ at the point $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$ is very close to the tangent space at the point $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$ of a linear algebraic variety Z given by $v_1 = \varepsilon_1 F(u_{1,0})$, $w_j - w_{j,0} = \rho_{j,0}B_j(w_3 - w_{3,0})$, $j = 4, \dots, M$. Therefore for each very small $\overline{\varepsilon}$ the form $\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is symplectic at $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$. By continuity, it is symplectic in some neighbourhood of $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$.

Consider a point $y \in \widetilde{f}^{-1}(\bar{H})$ belonging to the ramification locus of $\widetilde{f}$ and such that $\widetilde{f}(y) \in U_p$ for some $p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{\mathrm{analytic}}\bar{H}$ or $p = \infty$. By (11)

and (12), renumbering the coordinates $w_3, \dots, w_M$, we can assume that there is some n, $3 \leqslant n \leqslant M$, such that $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is given in a neighbourhood of $\alpha(y)$ by equations

$$\begin{aligned} h_j(u_1, v_1, w_3, \dots, w_n) &= 0, \quad j = 3, \dots, n \\ \rho_j(u_1, v_1) h_j(u_1, v_1, w_3, \dots, w_n) &= w_j, \quad j = n+1 \dots, M, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where ρ_j are smooth functions and h_j are analytic functions at the point $\widetilde{f}(y)$. Let $(u_{1,0}, v_{1,0}, w_{3,0}, \dots, w_{M,0})$ be the coordinates of the point $\alpha(y)$. Then the variety $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is given in a neighbourhood of $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y) = (u_{1,0}, \varepsilon_1 v_{1,0}, \varepsilon_2 w_{3,0}, \dots, \varepsilon_2 w_{M,0})$ by equations

$$\begin{aligned} h_j(u_1, \tfrac{v_1}{\varepsilon_1}, \tfrac{w_3}{\varepsilon_2}, \dots, \tfrac{w_n}{\varepsilon_2}) &= 0, \quad j = 3, \dots, n \\ \varepsilon_2 \rho_j(u_1, \tfrac{v_1}{\varepsilon_1}) h_j(u_1, \tfrac{v_1}{\varepsilon_1}, \tfrac{w_3}{\varepsilon_2}, \dots, \tfrac{w_n}{\varepsilon_2}) &= w_j, \quad j = n+1 \dots, M. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Set $A_{j,l} = \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial w_l}(\alpha(y))$ for $j \leqslant j \leqslant M$, $1 \leqslant l \leqslant n$, (here $w_1 = u_1$ and $w_2 = v_1$) and by $B_j = \rho_j(u_{1,0}, v_{1,0})$ for $n+1 \leqslant j \leqslant M$.

It follows from (20) that for each fixed positive ε_1 and for very small ε_2 the tangent space of $\widetilde{Y}_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ at the point $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$ is very close to the tangent space at the point $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$ of a linear algebraic variety Z given by

$$\sum_{l=3}^{n} A_{j,l}(w_l - \varepsilon_2 w_{l,0}) = -A_{j,1}(u_1 - u_{1,0}) - \frac{A_{j,2}}{\varepsilon_1}(v_1 - \varepsilon_1 v_{1,0}), \ 3 \leqslant j \leqslant n$$

$$B_j \sum_{l=3}^{n} A_{j,l}(w_l - \varepsilon_2 w_{l,0}) = w_j - \varepsilon_2 w_{j,0}, \qquad n+1 \leqslant j \leqslant M.$$

Therefore for fixed ε_1 and for each very small ε_2, the form $\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ is symplectic at $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$. By continuity, it is symplectic in some neighbourhood of $(\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(y)$.

To complete the proof, it suffices to apply the compactness of $\widetilde{Y}$. ■

By Lemma 2.1 and by Hironaka's Theorem on resolution of singularities, we have

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{Y} \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ be a finite covering branched along a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ (possibly, with negative nodes) and, maybe, along L_∞, and associated with a monodromy $\mu : \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H) \to \Sigma_N$. Then there exist collections of positive integers $(M_1, \dots, M_k)$ and $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ such that a resolution $\overline{Y}$ of singularities of $\widetilde{Y}$ can be embedded as a symplectic submanifold to $(\mathbb{CP}^{M_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{CP}^{M_k}, \Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_k})$, where $\Omega_{n_1,\dots,n_k} = n_1 p_1^*(\Omega_{M_1}) + \dots + n_k p_k^*(\Omega_{M_k})$ and Ω_{M_j} is the Fubini – Studi symplectic form on $\mathbb{CP}^{M_j}$.*

Theorem 3.3. *In the notations of the proof of Theorem* 3.1*, let* $\widetilde{Y}$ *be a smooth manifold. Then the symplectic structure, constructed in the proof of Theorem* 3.1 *and given by the symplectic form* $\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$*, does neither depend on* $\overline{\varepsilon}$ *if the coordinates of* $\overline{\varepsilon}$ *are small enough nor on the choice the coverings* $\mathcal{U}$ *and* $\mathcal{V}$*, and on the choice of the functions* $w_{i,j}$*.*

Moreover, if $i : \widetilde{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^N$ *is an algebraic embedding (in the case when* $\bar{H}$ *is an algebraic curve) and* $\widetilde{f} = p \circ i$*, where* $p : \mathbb{CP}^N \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ *is a linear projection, then* $(\widetilde{Y}, \omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}})$ *and* $(\widetilde{Y}, i^*(\Omega_N))$ *are symplectomorphic for* $\overline{\varepsilon}$ *being small enough, where* Ω_N *is the Fubini – Studi form on* $\mathbb{CP}^N$ *and* $\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ *is the form constructed in the proof of Theorem* 3.1*.*

Proof. Let $\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}} = (\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)^*(\Omega)$, where the embedding $\alpha : \widetilde{Y} \to \mathcal{L}$ was constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Note that for each $\overline{\varepsilon}$, $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leqslant c_1$ and $0 < \varepsilon_2 \leqslant c_2(\varepsilon_1)$, the class $[\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}}] \in H^2(\widetilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$ is dual to the class $[\widetilde{f}^{-1}(L)] \in H_2(\widetilde{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$, where L is a line in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Therefore, by Moser's stability theorem for symplectic structures (see [16], Theorem 3.17), the forms $\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ define the same symplectic structure if $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leqslant c_1$ and $0 < \varepsilon_2 \leqslant c_2(\varepsilon_1)$.

The symplectic structure on $\widetilde{Y}$, defined by the forms $\omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ does not depend on the choice of the coverings $\{U_i\}$ and $\{V_i\}$, and the choice of the functions $w_{i,j}$ defining the embedding α. Indeed, let two collections $\{w'_{i,j}\}$ and $\{w''_{i,j}\}$ of functions define two embeddings $\alpha' : \widetilde{Y} \to \mathcal{L}' \subset \mathbb{CP}^{M'}$ and $\alpha'' : \widetilde{Y} \to \mathcal{L}'' \subset \mathbb{CP}^{M''}$, and put $\omega'_{\overline{\varepsilon}} = (\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha')^*(\Omega')$ and $\omega''_{\overline{\varepsilon}} = (\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha'')^*(\Omega'')$, where Ω' and Ω'' are the Fubini – Studi symplectic forms on $\mathbb{CP}^{M'}$ and $\mathbb{CP}^{M''}$ respectively. Then we have an embedding $\alpha' \times \alpha'' : \widetilde{Y} \to \mathcal{L}' \times_{\mathbb{CP}^2} \mathcal{L}''$. Note that the form $\Omega_t = t(p')^*(\Omega') + (1 - t)(p'')^*(\Omega'')$ is a Kähler form for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Since the segment $[0, 1]$ is compact, applying a similar calculation as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, one can show that there exists $\overline{\varepsilon} = \overline{\varepsilon}' = \overline{\varepsilon}''$, $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leqslant \min(c'_1, c''_1)$, $0 < \varepsilon_2 \leqslant \min(c'_2(\varepsilon_1), c''_2(\varepsilon_1))$, such that $\omega_{t,\overline{\varepsilon}} = ((\overline{\varepsilon} \circ (\alpha' \times \alpha''))^*(\Omega_t)$ is a symplectic form on $\widetilde{Y}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. On the other hand, $\omega_{0,\overline{\varepsilon}} = \omega'_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$ and $\omega_{1,\overline{\varepsilon}} = \omega''_{\overline{\varepsilon}}$, and the forms $\omega_{t,\overline{\varepsilon}}$ belong to the same cohomology class. Therefore, by Moser's stability theorem for symplectic structures, the forms $\omega_{t,\overline{\varepsilon}}$ define the same symplectic structure on $\widetilde{Y}$.

In the case of an algebraic embedding $i : \widetilde{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^N$ such that $\widetilde{f} = p \circ i$, where $p : \mathbb{CP}^N \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ is a linear projection, denote by $\alpha' : \widetilde{Y} \to \mathcal{L}' \subset \mathbb{CP}^{M'}$ any embedding constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and put $\alpha'' = i$. Applying the same arguments as above, it is easy to see that $(\widetilde{Y}, \omega_{\overline{\varepsilon}})$ and $(\widetilde{Y}, i^*(\Omega_N))$ are symplectomorphic for $\overline{\varepsilon}$ being small

enough, since the symplectic manifolds $(\widetilde{Y}, \omega''_{\overline{\varepsilon}})$ are symplectomorphic for all positive collections $\overline{\varepsilon}$, where $\omega''_{\overline{\varepsilon}} = (\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha'')^*(\Omega_N)$. ■

4. Embeddings of cyclic coverings of the plane into rational projective 3-folds

In this section, we use the notations and assumptions of section 1.

Let $\bar{H}$ be a Hurwitz curve of degree m. Consider the infinite cyclic covering $f = f_\infty : X_\infty \to X' = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H$ corresponding to the epimorphism $\nu : \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H) \to \mathbb{F}_1$. The covering f_∞ can be factorized through the cyclic covering $f_n : X'_n \to \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H$ associated with the epimorphism $\mathrm{mod}_n \circ \nu$, $f_\infty = g_n \circ f_n$.

In this section, we will show that the covering f_n can be extended to a smooth map $\overline{f}_n : \overline{X}_n \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ branched along $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along L_∞ (if n is not a divisor of $\deg \bar{H}$, then $\overline{f}_n$ is branched along L_∞), where $\overline{X}_n$ is a real smooth 4-fold.

Theorem 4.1. *A resolution of singularities $\overline{X}_n$ of a cyclic covering of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ of degree n, branched along a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, along L_∞, can be embedded into some rational projective 3-fold (equipped with integer Kähler symplectic structure) as a symplectic submanifold.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H_1$ and $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H_2$ are diffeomorphic for H-isotopic Hurwitz curves $\bar{H}_1$ and $\bar{H}_2$, we can assume that $\bar{H}$ satisfies conditions (6) and (8).

By Lemma 2.1 and by Hironaka's Theorem on resolution of singularities, it suffices to show that for some extension $\widetilde{f}_n : \widetilde{X}_n \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ of $f'_n : X'_n \to X'$, the variety $\widetilde{X}_n$ can be imbedded to some rational projective 3-fold (equipped with integer Kähler symplectic structure) as a symplectic subvariety with analytic singularities.

To show this, denote by d the smallest non-negative integer for which $m + d$ is divisible by n. Put $m + d = kn$ and consider the line bundle $p : \mathcal{L}(k) \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ (see section 1) associated with the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^2}(k)$. By Lemma 1.4, the Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ coincides with the zero locus of a smooth section $\overline{s}_m$ of $\mathcal{L}(m)$ over $\mathbb{CP}^2$ such that $\overline{s}_m$ is analytic in a neighbourhood U of L_∞ and in neighbourhoods of all critical points of $\bar{H}$.

Denote by $\overline{s}_d$ the section of $\mathcal{L}(d)$ defined over $\mathbb{C}^2_2$ by $w_2 = u_2^d$. The product

$$\overline{s}_{m+d} = \overline{s}_m \overline{s}_d \tag{21}$$

is a section of $\mathcal{L}(m + d)$, where $\overline{s}_m$ is a section of $\mathcal{L}(m)$ satisfying all conditions from Lemma 1.4.

Define $\alpha : \widetilde{X}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(k)$ by the equation

$$w_i^n = \overline{s}_{m+d}(u_i, v_i) \tag{22}$$

and put $\widetilde{f}_n = p_{|X_n}$, where $p : \mathcal{L}(k) \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ is the morphism defining on $\mathcal{L}(k)$ the structure of the line bundle. In particular, $\widetilde{X}_n$ is given by the equation

$$w_1^n = F_1(u_1, v_1)$$

in $\mathbb{C}_1^3$ and it is given by

$$w_2^n = u_2^d F_2(u_2, v_2)$$

in $\mathbb{C}_2^3$.

Obviously, over $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (\bar{H} \cup L_\infty)$ the covering $\widetilde{f}_n$ is an unramified n-sheeted cyclic covering. Next, all singular points of the variety $\widetilde{X}_n$ lie over singular points of $\bar{H}$ and, maybe, over L_∞. Moreover, by construction of the section $\overline{s}_{m+d}$, the set $\operatorname{Sing} \widetilde{X}_n$ is complex analytic in some neighbourhood $U \subset \mathcal{L}(k)$.

The line bundle $\mathcal{L}(k)$ is a quasi-projective variety and it can be compactified to a projective three dimensional rational manifold $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(k)$ by adding a section "at infinity".

The variety $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(k)$ has many different embeddings to projective spaces, since its Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{L}}(k)) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. We can choose one of these embeddings, for example, the following one.

In the neighbourhood $\mathbb{C}_1^3$ with coordinates (u_1, v_1, w_1) consider monomials $u_1^{a_1} v_1^{a_2} w_1^{a_3}$, $0 \leqslant a_1 + a_2 + k a_3 \leqslant k+1$, the number of which is equal to $\frac{(k+2)(k+3)}{2} + 3$. Put $N = \frac{(k+2)(k+3)}{2} + 2$ and consider a rational map $h : \overline{\mathcal{L}}(k) \to \mathbb{CP}^N$ given in $\mathbb{C}_1^3$ by $z_{\overline{a}} = u_1^{a_1} v_1^{a_2} w_1^{a_3}$, where $\overline{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ are triples of integers and $z_{\overline{a}}$ are homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{CP}^N$. It is easily to check that h is an embedding.

Consider the Fubini - Studi form Ω_N on $\mathbb{CP}^N$ and denote by $\Omega = h^*(\Omega_N)$ its pull back.

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, denote by the same symbol $\overline{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ a collection of two positive numbers and automorphism of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(k)$ given in $\mathbb{C}_1^3$ by $(u_1, v_1, w_1) \to (u_1, \varepsilon_1 v_1, \varepsilon_2 w_1)$.

The calculations (we omit them) similar to the calculations done in the proof of Theorem 3.1 show that there exist a positive constant c_1 and a positive function $c_2(t)$ such that $\widetilde{X}_{\overline{\varepsilon}} = (\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \alpha)(X_n)$ is a sympectic subvariety of $\mathcal{L}$ with analytic singularities for all $\overline{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ with $\varepsilon_1 \leqslant c_1$, $\varepsilon_2 \leqslant c_2(\varepsilon_1)$. ■

5. Alexander polynomials of Hurwitz C-groups

Let $\bar{H}$ be a Hurwitz (resp. topological Hurwitz) curve of degree m. Since any Zariski – van Kampen presentation of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H)$ is a C-presentation of a Hurwitz C-group of degree m, Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 are corollaries of the following Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $G \in \mathcal{H}$ be a Hurwitz C-group of degree m and $\Delta(t)$ its Alexander polynomial. Then*

- (i) $\Delta(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$;
- (ii) $\Delta(0) = \pm 1$;
- (iii) *the roots of $\Delta(t)$ are m-th roots of unity;*
- (iv) *the rank of the free part of N'/N'' is equal to* $\deg \Delta(t)$;
- (v) *the action of $h_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $N/N' \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is semisimple.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to N \to G \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathbb{F}_1 \to 1.$$

This exact sequence induces an automorphism $\widetilde{h} \in \operatorname{Aut} N$ (an action of the C-generator $x \in \mathbb{F}_1$ on N) given by $\widetilde{h}(n) = \widetilde{x}^{-1} n \widetilde{x}$ for $n \in N$, where $\widetilde{x}$ is one of the C-generators of G. Evidently, the automorphism $\widetilde{h}$ is defined uniquely up to inner automorphisms of the group N, therefore $\widetilde{h}$ defines an automorphism $h \in \operatorname{Aut} N/N'$.

In [13], it was proved that for a Hurwitz C-group G, the group N is finitely presented. Therefore N/N' is a finitely generated abelian group. Let $N/N' = T \oplus F$ be a decomposition into the direct sum of the torsion subgroup T and a free abelian group F. Note that T is a finite group and F is finitely generated. The automorphism h of N/N' induces an automorphism of T and therefore an automorphism $\overline{h}$ of $F \simeq (N/N')/T$. If one chooses a free basis of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module F over $\mathbb{Z}$, then this automorphism will be given by a matrix H with integer coefficients. Since the automorphism $h_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $N/N' \otimes \mathbb{C}$ can be given by the same matrix H, the polynomial $\Delta(t) = \det(H - t\mathrm{Id}) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. And since $\overline{h} \in \operatorname{Aut} F$, then $\det \widetilde{H} = \pm 1$ and therefore, $\Delta(0) = \pm 1$.

Let us show that $\widetilde{h}^m$ is an inner automorphism of N. Indeed, since G is a Hurwitz group of degree m, it is generated by C-generators $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ such that the product $x_1 \ldots x_m$ belongs to the center of G. Therefore the element $\widetilde{x}^m = \widetilde{n} \cdot x_1 \ldots x_m$, where $\widetilde{n} \in N$, induces on N an inner automorphism. Thus the induced automorphisms h^m of N/N' and $h_{\mathbb{C}}^m$ of $N/N' \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are trivial, that is, $h_{\mathbb{C}}^m = \mathrm{Id}$.

Since $h_{\mathbb{C}}^m = \mathrm{Id}$, all roots of the polynomial $\Delta(t) = \det(h_{\mathbb{C}} - t\mathrm{Id})$ are m-th roots of unity, and the action of $h_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $N/N' \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is semisimple. ∎

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be an irreducible Hurwitz C-group, then*

(*i*) $\Delta(1) = 1$;
(*ii*) $\deg \Delta(t)$ *is an even number;*
(*iii*) $\Delta(t)$ *is a reciprocal polynomial.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6 in [10] that $\Delta(1) = \pm 1$. Let us show that $t = -1$ also is not a root of $\Delta(t)$. Indeed, if $t = -1$ is a root of $\Delta(t)$, then $\Delta(t) = (t+1)P(t)$, where $P(t)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients. Therefore $2P(1) = \pm 1$. But this is impossible, since $P(1)$ is an integer.

By Theorem 5.1, all roots of $\Delta(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ are roots of unity. They are non-real, since $t = \pm 1$ are not roots of $\Delta(t)$. Thus, $\deg \Delta(t)$ is an even number and (*ii*) is proved.

It is well known that if λ is a primitive k-th root of unity, $k > 2$, and a polynomial $P(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ has λ as one of its roots, then all primitive k-th roots of unity are roots of $P(t)$. In particular, λ^{-1} is also a root of $P(t)$ and (*iii*) is proved.

Since $\Delta(t) = \det(h_{\mathbb{C}} - t\mathrm{Id})$ and $\deg \Delta(t)$ is an even number, then $\Delta(t) = t^{\deg \Delta(t)} + \dots$. Let $\Delta(t) = \prod_i \Phi_{k_i}(t)$ be a factorization as the product of k_i-th cyclotomic polynomials. Now statement (*i*) follows from well-known Lemma 5.3, since $\Delta(1) = \pm 1$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\Phi_k(t)$ be a k-th cyclotomic polynomial, $k > 1$. Then*

$$\Phi_k(1) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } k = p^n \text{ for some prime number } p \\ 1 & \text{if } k \neq p^n \text{ for any prime number } p. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By induction on $k > 1$, Lemma 5.3 follows from the equalities

$$\Phi_k(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t^i}{\prod_{\substack{d|k \\ d<k}} \Phi_d(t)}, \quad \Phi_k(1) = \frac{k}{\prod_{\substack{d|k \\ d<k}} \Phi_d(1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_k(0) = \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{d|k \\ d<k}} \Phi_d(0)}.$$

■

Corollary 0.3 is a consequence of

Corollary 5.4. *Let G be an irreducible Hurwitz C-group of degree $m = p^n$, where p is a prime number. Then*

(*i*) $\Delta(t) \equiv 1$;
(*ii*) *the group G'/G'' is a finite abelian group.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, all roots of $\Delta(t)$ are m-th roots of unity. Let λ be one of the roots. Assume that λ is a primitive p^k-th root of unity, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Then λ is a root of the p^k-th cyclotomic polynomial

$$\Phi_{p^k}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} t^{ip^{k-1}}$$

and there is a polynomial $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $\Delta(t) = \Phi_{p^k}(t)f(t)$. By Theorem 5.2, $\Phi_{p^k}(1)f(1) = \pm 1$. On the other hand, $f(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi_{p^k}(1) = p$. Therefore $\Delta(t)$ has no roots. Thus, $\deg \Delta(t) = 0$ and the group G'/G'' has no free part, that is, it is a finite abelian group. ■

Lemma 5.5. *Let G_1 and G_2 be C-groups and $\Delta_1(t)$ and $\Delta_2(t)$ their Alexander polynomials. Assume that there is a C-epimorphism $f : G_1 \to G_2$. Then $\Delta_2(t)$ is a divisor of $\Delta_1(t)$.*

Proof. Denote by N_i the kernel of the canonical C-epimorphism $\nu_i : G_i \to \mathbb{F}_1$, $i = 1, 2$. It is easy to see that the homomorphism g in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & N_1 & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \xrightarrow{\nu_1} & \mathbb{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & g\downarrow & & f\downarrow & & \downarrow\simeq & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N_2 & \longrightarrow & G_2 & \xrightarrow{\nu_2} & \mathbb{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

is an epimorphism. This diagram induces the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & N_1/N_1' & \longrightarrow & G_1/N_1' & \xrightarrow{\nu_{1*}} & \mathbb{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & g_*\downarrow & & f_*\downarrow & & \downarrow\simeq & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N_2/N_2' & \longrightarrow & G_2/N_2' & \xrightarrow{\nu_{2*}} & \mathbb{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \qquad (*)$$

in which g_* is also an epimorphism.

It follows from diagram $(*)$ that $\Delta_2(t)$ is a divisor of $\Delta_1(t)$, since $h_2(g_*(n)) = g_*(h_1(n))$ for any $n \in N_1/N_1'$. ■

Theorem 5.6. *Let G be a Hurwitz C-group of degree m. Then its Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ divides the polynomial $(t-1)(t^m-1)^{m-2}$.*

Proof. Consider the Hurwitz C-group

$$\widetilde{G}_m = < x_1, \ldots, x_m \mid [x_i, x_1 \ldots x_m] = 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, m > . \qquad (23)$$

For any Hurwitz C-group G of degree m there is a natural C-epimorphism $f : \widetilde{G}_m \to G$ sending the C-generators x_i of $\widetilde{G}_m$ to the

C-generators x_i of G for which the product $x_1 \dots x_m$ belongs to the center of G. Therefore to prove Theorem 5.6, it is sufficient to show that the Alexander polynomial of $\widetilde{G}_m$ is equal to $(-1)^{m-1}(t-1)(t^m-1)^{m-2}$.

Denote by $\widetilde{N}_m$ the kernel of $\nu : \widetilde{G}_m \to \mathbb{F}_1$ and put $y = x_1 \dots x_m$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\widetilde{h}(n) = x_m n x_m^{-1}$ for $n \in \widetilde{N}_m$. In [13], applying the Reidemeister – Schreier method, it was shown that $\widetilde{N}_m$ is generated be the elements

$$a_{k,j} = x_m^k x_j x_m^{-(k+1)}, \tag{24}$$

where $j = 2, \dots, m-1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and by the elements

$$a_{k,m} = x_m^k y x_m^{-(k+m)}, \tag{25}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the action $\widetilde{h}$ is given by $\widetilde{h}(a_{k,j}) = a_{k+1,j}$.

The relations

$$yx_j = x_j y, \quad j = 2, \dots, m,$$

give rise (see [13]) to relations

$$a_{k,m} = a_{k+1,m} \tag{26}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and to relations

$$a_{k,m} a_{k+m,j} a_{k+1,m}^{-1} = a_{k,j} \tag{27}$$

for $j = 2, \dots, m-1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $\widetilde{N}_m$ is a free group generated by $a_{0,m}$ and $a_{k,j}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 2, \dots, m-1$.

We have $\widetilde{h}(a_{0,m}) = a_{0,m}$ and

$$\widetilde{h}(a_{k,j}) = a_{k+1,j}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$, $j = 2, \dots, m-1$ and

$$\widetilde{h}(a_{m,j}) = a_{0,m}^{-1} a_{1,j} a_{0,m}$$

for $j = 2, \dots, m-1$.

Let $\overline{a}_{k,j}$ be the image of $a_{k,j}$ in $\widetilde{N}_m / \widetilde{N}'_m$. Then the action h is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{h}(\overline{a}_{0,m}) &= \overline{a}_{0,m}, \\ h(\overline{a}_{k,j}) &= \overline{a}_{k+1,j} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m-1,\ j = 2, \dots, m-1, \\ h(\overline{a}_{m,j}) &= \overline{a}_{1,j} \qquad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, m-1. \end{aligned}$$

Simple computations show that the characteristic polynomial of h is equal to $(-1)^{m-1}(t-1)(t^m-1)^{m-2}$. ■

It is easy to check ([10], Lemma 4) that G/G' is a finitely generated free abelian group for any C-group G. Moreover, the canonical epimorphism $\mathrm{ab} : G \to G/G'$ is a C-homomorphism if we choose $\mathrm{ab}(x_i)$ as the C-generators of G/G', where the set $\{x_i\}$ is the set of C-generators of the group G. We say that a C-group G *consists of n irreducible components* if $G/G' \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$. The notion of the number of irreducible components of a Hurwitz C-group is explained by the following simple lemma.

Lemma 5.7. *A (topological) Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ consists of n irreducible components iff its fundamental group $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H)$ consists of n irreducible components.*

Trivial computations show that the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of an abelian C-group $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is equal to $(-1)^{n-1}(t-1)^{n-1}$. Therefore, Lemma 5.5 implies the following

Lemma 5.8. *The Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of a Hurwitz C-group G consisting of n irreducible components is divisible by $(t-1)^{n-1}$.*

Theorem 5.9. *Let a Hurwitz C-group G consist of n irreducible components and $\Delta(t)$ be its Alexander polynomial. Then*

$$\Delta(t) = (t-1)^{n-1}P(t),$$

where the polynomial $P(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ satisfies $P(1) \neq 0$.

Proof. Let m be the degree of the Hurwitz C-group G. To obtain a C-presentation of G, it is sufficient to add several C-relations to presentation (23).

Since G consists of n irreducible components, the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$ splits into a disjoint union of n subsets $J_1, \dots, J_n$ such that $j_1, j_2 \in J_k$ iff x_{j_1} and x_{j_2} are conjugated in G. Let $J_k = \{j_{1,k} < \dots < j_{m_k,k}\}$. Without loss of generality, one can assume that among the added C-relations there are relations

$$x_{j_{i,k}} w_{j_{i,k},j_{i+1,k},1} = w_{j_{i,k},j_{i+1,k},1} x_{j_{i+1,k}} \tag{28}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m_k - 1$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, and for some words $w_{j_{i,k},j_{i+1,k},1}$. Let $\nu(w_{j_{i,k},j_{i+1,k},1}) = x^{t_{j_{i,k}}}$, where x is the C-generator of $\mathbb{F}_1$.

Consider diagram (*) in which $G_1 = \widetilde{G}_m$ and $G_2 = G$. In the notations of the proof of Theorem 5.6, the elements $\overline{a}_{0,m}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \overline{a}_{k,j}$, $j = 2, \dots, m-1$, generate the eigenspace $(N_1/N_1')_1$ of N_1/N_1' corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. Its image $g_*((N_1/N_1')_1) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the eigenspace of $N_2/N_2' \otimes \mathbb{C}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1.

Applying the Reidemeister – Schreier method, the element $a_{0,m}$, defined in (25), and the elements $a_{k,j}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 2, \dots, m-1$, defined in (24) (more precisely, their images under g_*), also generate the group N_2 and each relation (28) (after the substitution $x_1 = y(x_2 \dots x_m)^{-1}$) gives rise to the relations

$$a_{r,j_{i,k}} \overline{w}_{r+1,j_{i,k},j_{i+1,k}} = \overline{w}_{r,j_{i,k},j_{i+1,k}} a_{r+t_{j_{i,k}},j_{i+1,k}} \tag{29}$$

if $1 < j_{i,k} < j_{i+1,k} < m$,

$$a_{0,m}(\prod_{s=2}^{m-1} a_{r+m-s,m+1-s}^{-1}) \overline{w}_{r+1,1,j_{i+1,k}} = \overline{w}_{r,1,j_{i+1,k}} a_{r+t_{1,j_{i+1,k}}} \tag{30}$$

if $1 = j_{i,k} < j_{i+1,k} < m$,

$$a_{r,j_{i,k}} \overline{w}_{r+1,j_{i,k},m} = \overline{w}_{r,j_{i,k},m} \tag{31}$$

if $1 < j_{i,k} < j_{i+1,k} = m$, and

$$a_{0,m}(\prod_{s=2}^{m-1} a_{r+m-s,m+1-s}^{-1}) \overline{w}_{r+1,1,m} = \overline{w}_{r,1,m} \tag{32}$$

if $1 = j_{i,k} < j_{i+1,k} = m$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each word $\overline{w}_{r,j_{i,k},j_{i+1,k}} = x_m^r w_{j_{i,k},j_{i+1,k},1} x_m^{-(r+t_{j_{i,k}})}$ is written in the generators $a_{l,s}$.

As in [13], one can show that the words $\overline{w}_{r,j_{i,k},j_{i+1,k}}$ and $\overline{w}_{r+m,j_{i,k},j_{i+1,k}}$ are conjugated in G_2 by $a_{0,m}$. Therefore, taking the sum over r, relations (29) – (32) give rise in N_2/N_2' to the following relations:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{m} \overline{a}_{r,j_{i,k}} = \sum_{r=1}^{m} \overline{a}_{r,j_{i+1,k}} \tag{33}$$

if $1 < j_{i,k} < j_{i+1,k} < m$,

$$m\overline{a}_{0,m} - \sum_{s=2}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \overline{a}_{r,s} = \sum_{r=1}^{m} \overline{a}_{r,j_{i+1,k}} \tag{34}$$

if $1 = j_{i,k} < j_{i+1,k} < m$,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{m} \overline{a}_{r,j_{i,k}} = 0 \tag{35}$$

if $1 < j_{i,k} < j_{i+1,k} = m$, and

$$m\overline{a}_{0,m} - \sum_{s=2}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \overline{a}_{r,s} = 0 \tag{36}$$

if $1 = j_{i,k} < j_{i+1,k} = m$.

It is easy to see that for any decomposition $\{1, \dots, m\} = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{n} J_k$, equations (33) – (36) are linear independent over $\mathbb{Z}$, their number being

$m-n$, and the elements $\overline{a}_{0,m}$ and $\sum_{r=1}^{m}\overline{a}_{r,s}$, $s=2,\dots,m-1$ generate the eigenspace $(N_1/N_1')_1$. Therefore the rank of the kernel of the restriction of g_* to $(N_1/N_1')_1$ is not less then $m-n$. Thus

$$\dim(N_2/N_2'\otimes\mathbb{C})_1 \leqslant \dim(N_1/N_1'\otimes\mathbb{C})_1-(m-n)=n-1.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.8, the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of a C-group G consisting of n irreducible components is divisible by $(t-1)^{n-1}$. ■

Corollary 5.10. *Let a Hurwitz C-group G consist of n irreducible components and $\Delta(t)$ be its Alexander polynomial. Then*

$$\Delta(0)=(-1)^{\deg\Delta(t)-(n-1)}.$$

Proof. The Alexander polynomial

$$\Delta(t)=\det(h_{\mathbb{C}}-t\mathrm{Id})=(-t)^{\deg\Delta(t)}+\sum_{i=0}^{\deg\Delta(t)-1}c_it^i$$

satisfies, and by Theorem 5.9, $\Delta(t)=(t-1)^{n-1}P(t)$ where the polynomial $P(t)\in\mathbb{Z}[t]$ is such that $P(1)\neq 0$. Therefore the polynomial $P(t)=(-1)^{\deg\Delta(t)}\prod_i\Phi_{n_i}(t)$ is a product (up to sign) of some cyclotomic polynomials $\Phi_{n_i}(t)$ with $n_i>1$. By Lemma 5.3, $\Phi_{n_i}(0)=1$ for all $n_i>1$. Therefore $\Delta(0)=(-1)^{n-1}(-1)^{\Delta(t)}=(-1)^{\deg\Delta(t)-(n-1)}$. ■

Lemma 5.11. *Let $j:\{1,\dots,n\}\to\{1,\dots,m\}$ be an injective function. Assume that a C-group G is generated by C-generators $x_1,\dots,x_n$ and $w=\overline{x}_1\dots\overline{x}_m$ is a quasipositive word in $x_1,\dots,x_n$ (that is, each $\overline{x}_k$ is conjugated to some $x_{i_k}\in\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$) such that $\overline{x}_{j(i)}=x_i$ for $i=1,\dots,n$. If w belongs to the center of G, then G is a Hurwitz C-group of degree m.*

Proof. Let $G=<x_1,\dots,x_n \mid R>$ be a C-presentation of the group G. Put $J=\{1\leqslant j\leqslant m \mid j=j(i),\ i=1,\dots,n\}$. By assumption, we have $\overline{x}_{j(i)}=x_i$ and

$$\overline{x}_j=w_j^{-1}x_{i_j}w_j \tag{37}$$

for $j\notin J$, where w_j is a word in $x_1,\dots,x_n$. Note that relations (37) are C-relations. Therefore if we add the generators $\overline{x}_j$, $j\notin J$, to the set of generators $\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$ and add relations (37) to R, then we obtain a C-presentation of the same group G. To complete the proof, it suffices to renumber the obtained set of generators. ■

Proposition 5.12. *Let G_i, $i=1,2$, be a Hurwitz C-group of degree m_i and $\Delta_i(t)$ its Alexander polynomial. Then there exists a Hurwitz C-group of degree $2m_1m_2$ with Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)=\Delta_1(t)\Delta_2(t)$.*

Proof. Let $G_i =< x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{m_i,i} \mid R_i >$ be a Hurwitz C-presentation of the group G_i of degree m_i.

Consider the amalgamated product $\widetilde{G} = G_1 *_{\{x_{m_1,1}=x_{m_2,2}\}} G_2$. It is a C-group given by the presentation

$$\widetilde{G} =< x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{m_i,i},\ i = 1,2 \mid R_1 \cup R_2,\ x_{m_1,1} = x_{m_2,2} > .$$

Put $y_i = x_{1,i} \dots x_{m_i,i}$, $i = 1, 2$, and denote by N_i (resp. $\widetilde{N}$) the kernel of $\nu : G_i \to \mathbb{F}_1$ (resp. of $\nu : \widetilde{G} \to \mathbb{F}_1$).

As in the proof of Theorem 5.6, applying the Reidemeister – Schreier method, one can show that the group N_i (resp. $\widetilde{N}$) is generated by the elements $a_{k,j,i} = x^k_{m_i,i} x_{j,i} x^{-(k+1)}_{m_i,i}$, where $j = 2, \dots, m_i - 1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and by the elements $a_{k,m_i,i} = x^k_{m_i,i} y_i x^{-(k+m_i)}_{m_i,i}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. by the union of these elements, since $x_{m_1,1} = x_{m_2,2}$ in $\widetilde{G}$). The set of defining relations of N_i (resp. of $\widetilde{N}$) is obtained from the set $\overline{R}_i = \{x^n_{m_i,i} r_{l,i} x^{-n}_{m_i,i} \mid r_{l,i} \in R_i\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ (resp. from $\overline{R} = \overline{R}_1 \cup \overline{R}_2$) after rewriting the words in the alphabet $\{a_{k,j,i}\}$ (resp. in the union of these alphabets). Therefore $\widetilde{N} = N_1 * N_2$ is the free product of the groups N_1 and N_2.

Note that it follows from the proof of Theorem 5.6 that the elements $a_{k,j,i}$ and $a_{k+lm_i,j,i}$ are conjugated in N_i for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\widetilde{h}_i$ be the automorphism of N_i given by conjugation by $x_{m_i,i}$. Then the automorphism $\widetilde{h}$ of $\widetilde{N}$ given by conjugation by $x_{m_1,1} = x_{m_2,2}$, which is equal to $\widetilde{h}_1 * \widetilde{h}_2$. Therefore the Alexander polynomial $\widetilde{\Delta}(t)$ of the group $\widetilde{G}$ is equal to $\widetilde{\Delta}(t) = \Delta_1(t)\Delta_2(t)$.

Consider a group

$$G = < x_{1,i} \dots, x_{m_i,i}, i = 1,2 \mid R_1 \cup R_2,\ x_{m_1,1} = x_{m_2,2},$$
$$[x_{j,i}, y^{m_i}_{\overline{i}}] = 1, j = 1, \dots, m_i - 1, i = 1,2 >,$$

where $\overline{i} = \{1,2\} \setminus \{i\}$ (recall that $x_{1,\overline{i}}, \dots, x_{m_{\overline{i}},\overline{i}}$ commute with $y_{\overline{i}}$). Let N be the kernel of $\nu : G \to \mathbb{F}_1$.

To obtain a presentation of the group N from the presentation of the group $\widetilde{N}$ described above, one should add the relations induced by the relations

$$[x_{j,i}, y^{m_i}_{\overline{i}}] = 1, j = 1, \dots, m_i - 1, i = 1,2.$$

It is easy to see that these additional relations are

$$a_{k,j,i} a^{m_i}_{0,m_{\overline{i}}} = a^{m_i}_{0,m_{\overline{i}}} a_{k+m_1m_2,j,i}, \tag{38}$$

since $a_{k,m_{\overline{i}}} = a_{k+1,m_{\overline{i}}}$ in $N_{\overline{i}}$ for all k, that is, the additional relations (38) say that $a_{k,j,i}$ and $a_{k+m_1m_2,j,i}$ are conjugated. But these elements were conjugated in $\widetilde{N}$. Therefore $\widetilde{N}/\widetilde{N}' \simeq N/N'$ and the groups $\widetilde{G}$ and G

have the same Alexander polynomials. To complete the proof of Proposition 5.12, let us notice that $y_1^{m_2}y_2^{m_1} = (x_{1,1}\dots x_{m_1,1})^{m_2}(x_{1,2}\dots x_{m_2,2})^{m_1}$ belongs to the center of the group G. Therefore, by Lemma 5.11, G is a Hurwitz C-group of degree $2m_1m_2$. ■

For two Hurwitz C-groups G_1 and G_2 given by Hurwitz C-presentations $G_i =< x_{1,i},\dots,x_{m_i,i} \mid R_i >$, $i = 1, 2$, the Hurwitz C-group G, constructed in the proof of Proposition 5.12, is called *a Hurwitz product* of G_1 and G_2. A Hurwitz product of G_1 and G_2 will be denoted by $G_1 \diamond G_2$. Of course, a Hurwitz product of G_1 and G_2 depends on Hurwitz C-presentations of G_1 and G_2, but by Proposition 5.12, the Alexander polynomial of $G_1 \diamond G_2$ does not depend on the Hurwitz C-presentations of the factors.

Lemma 5.13. *The fundamental group $G_{n,m} = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus C_{n,m})$ of the complement of the affine plane algebraic curve $C_{m,n}$, given by the equation $w^n - z^m = 0$, where n and m are any positive integers, is a Hurwitz C-group.*

Remark. Note that this lemma does not follow from the statement, mentioned above, on the fundamental group of the complement of an affine Hurwitz curve, since it is assumed there that the line at infinity is in general position with respect to the Hurwitz curve. Here, the line at infinity is in special position. If we consider the local fundamental group $G = \pi_1(B_\varepsilon \setminus C)$, where C is an irreducible singularity in a small ball B_ε, then G has always a natural structure of an irreducible C-group. It has a non-trivial center iff the singularity C is of type $x^p = y^q$ with p and q coprime (see [3]).

Proof. Indeed, a braid monodromy of the singularity $w^n = z^m$ with respect to the projection $(z, w) \to z$ is equal to

$$b_{n,m} = (\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n-1})^m \in \mathrm{Br}_n,$$

where $\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_{n-1}$ are standard generators of the braid group Br_n, that is, the generators satisfy the following relations $\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}$ for $i = 1,\dots,n-2$ and $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 1$ for $\mid i - j \mid \geqslant 2$. The group Br_n acts on the free group $\mathbb{F}_n$ generated by $x_1,\dots,x_n$. This action is given by $\sigma_j(x_i) = x_i$ if $j \neq i, i+1$, $\sigma_j(x_{j+1}) = x_j$, and $\sigma_j(x_j) = x_jx_{j+1}x_j^{-1}$. Denote by $B_{n,m}$ the cyclic subgroup of Br_n generated by $b_{n,m}$. Then (see [12]) the group

$$G_{n,m} =< x_1,\dots,x_n \mid x_i = b(x_i), i = 1,\dots,n,\ b \in B_{n,m} >$$

is a C-group, and by Lemma 5.11, it is a Hurwitz C-group, since $b_{n,m}^n = (\Delta_n^2)^m \in B_{n,m}$, where Δ_n is the Garside element of the braid

group Br_n, and therefore the element $(x_1 \dots x_n)^m$ belongs to the center of $G_{n,m}$ (since $\Delta_n^2(x_i) = (x_1 \dots x_n)x_i(x_1 \dots x_n)^{-1}$ and $\Delta_n^2(x_1 \dots x_n) = x_1 \dots x_n$). ■

Proposition 5.14. ([14]) *If m and n are coprime, then the group $G_{n,m}$ has the Alexander polynomial*

$$\Delta_{n,m}(t) = \frac{(t-1)(t^{nm}-1)}{(t^n-1)(t^m-1)}.$$

Proposition 5.15. *The Alexander polynomial of the group $G_{2,2m}$ is equal to*

$$\Delta(t) = (1-t)\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} t^{2i}.$$

Proof. It follows from the proof of Lemma 5.13 that

$$G_{2,2m} =< x_1, x_2 \mid [x_1, (x_1x_2)^m] = [x_2, (x_1x_2)^m] = 1 > .$$

Let us show that the relations

$$[x_2, (x_1x_2)^m] = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{39}$$

are equivalent to the single relation

$$(x_1x_2)^m = (x_2x_1)^m.$$

Indeed, relations (39) imply

$$(x_1x_2)^m = x_1^{-1}(x_1x_2)^m x_1 = (x_2x_1)^m,$$

the relation $(x_1x_2)^m = (x_2x_1)^m$ implies

$$x_2(x_1x_2)^m = (x_2x_1)^m x_2 = (x_1x_2)^m x_2$$

and

$$x_1(x_1x_2)^m = x_1(x_2x_1)^m = (x_1x_2)^m x_1.$$

Therefore

$$G_{2,2m} =< x_1, x_2 \mid (x_1x_2)^m(x_2x_1)^{-m} = 1 > .$$

Denote by $r = (x_1x_2)^m(x_2x_1)^{-m}$. Applying the free differential calculus of Fox ([4]), it is easy to see that

$$\nu_*\big(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_1}\big) = 1 + t^2 + \dots + t^{2(m-1)} - t^{2m-1} - t^{2m-3} - \dots - t^1$$

and

$$\nu_*\big(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_2}\big) = t + \dots + t^{2m-1} - t^{2(m-1)} - t^{2(m-2)} - \dots - t^2 - 1.$$

Therefore

$$\Delta(t) = (1-t)\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} t^{2i}.$$

■

Consider the group

$$G(2) =< x_1, \dots, x_4 \mid \begin{array}{l} x_2^2 x_1 x_2^{-2} = x_4,\ x_3 = x_2,\ x_4^2 x_2 x_4^{-2} = x_2 \\ [x_i, x_1 \dots x_4] = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, 4 > . \end{array} \tag{40}$$

Proposition 5.16. *The Alexander polynomial of the group $G(2)$ is equal to $\Delta(t) = t^2 - 1$.*

Proof. Denote by $N(2)$ the kernel of $\nu : G(2) \to \mathbb{F}_1$ and put $m = 4$ and $y = x_1 \dots x_4$. In the notations of the proof of Theorem 5.6, it follows from the relations $[x_i, y] = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ that the group $N(2)$ is generated by the elements $a_{k,j} = x_4^k x_j x_4^{-(k+1)}$, $k = 1, \dots, 4$, $j = 2, 3$, and the element $a_{0,4} = y x_4^{-4}$. In our case relations (26) and (27) have the form

$$a_{k,4} = a_{0,4} \qquad \text{and} \qquad a_{k+4,j} = a_{0,4}^{-1} a_{k,j} a_{0,4} \tag{41}$$

for all k.

The relation $x_3 = x_2$ gives rise to the relations

$$a_{k,3} = a_{k,2} \tag{42}$$

for all k.

The relation $x_4^2 x_2 = x_2 x_4^2$ gives rise to the relations

$$a_{k+2,2} = a_{k,2} \tag{43}$$

for all k.

The relation $x_2^2 x_1 = x_4 x_2^2$, written as $x_2^2 y = x_4 x_2^4 x_4$ (since $x_2 = x_3$), gives rise to the relations

$$a_{k,2} a_{k+1,2} a_{k+2,4} = a_{k+1,2} a_{k+2,2} a_{k+3,2} a_{k+4,2} \tag{44}$$

for all k.

It follows from (41) – (44) that $N(2)$ is generated by $a_{1,2}$, $a_{2,2}$ and $a_{0,4}$, being subject to the relations

$$a_{0,4} = (a_{1,2} a_{2,2})^{-1} (a_{2,2} a_{1,2})^2 = (a_{2,2} a_{1,2})^{-1} (a_{1,2} a_{2,2})^2$$

and

$$[a_{1,2}, a_{0,4}] = [a_{2,2}, a_{0,4}] = 1.$$

Therefore the group $N(2)/N(2)'$ is a free abelian group generated by the images $\overline{a}_{1,2}$ and $\overline{a}_{2,2}$ of the elements $a_{1,2}$ and $a_{2,2}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 5.6, the action $\widetilde{h}$ on $N(2)$ is given by $\widetilde{h}(a_{1,2}) = a_{2,2}$, $\widetilde{h}(a_{2,2}) = a_{3,2} = a_{1,2}$. The induced action h on $N(2)/N(2)'$ is given by $h(\overline{a}_{1,2}) = \overline{a}_{2,2}$ and $h(\overline{a}_{2,2}) = \overline{a}_{1,2}$ the characteristic polynomial of which is equal to $(t-1)(t+1)$. ■

Corollary 5.17. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a Hurwitz C-group G consisting of two irreducible components whose Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t) = (t-1)P(t)$ is such that $\mid P(1) \mid = k$.*

Proof. If $k > 2$ then, by Proposition 5.15, we have $P(1) = -k$, where $P(t) = -\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t^{2i}$ is the factor of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t) = (1-t)\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t^{2i}$ of the group $G_{2,2k}$. If $k = 2$, then, by Proposition 5.16, the group $G(2)$ has the desired property, since its Alexander polynomial is $\Delta(t) = (t-1)(t+1)$. In the case $k = 1$, one can take the abelian Hurwitz C-group $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$. ■

Proposition 5.18. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists*

(*i*) *an irreducible Hurwitz C-group whose Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ has $\deg \Delta(t) = 2k$;*

(*ii*) *a Hurwitz C-group consisting of two irreducible components and whose Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t) = (t-1)P(t)$ satisfies $\deg P(t) = k$.*

Proof. By Propositions 5.12 and 5.14, the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of a Hurwitz product $G_{2,3}^{\diamond k}$ is equal to $(t^2 - t + 1)^k$.

To prove (*ii*), it suffices to take the groups $G(2) \diamond G_{2,3}^{\diamond n}$ if $k = 2n+1$ is odd and $\mathbb{Z}^2 \diamond G_{2,3}^{\diamond n}$ if $k = 2n$ is even. ■

Question 5.19. *Let $P(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be a polynomial whose roots are roots of unity, let $t = 1$ be a root of $P(t)$ of multiplicity k, and $P(0) = (-1)^{\deg P(t) - k}$. Assume also that $P(1) = 1$ if $k = 0$. Does there exist a Hurwitz C-group G with Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t) = P(t)$?*

6. The first Betti number of cyclic coverings of the plane

Consider the infinite cyclic covering $f = f_\infty : X_\infty \to X' = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H$ corresponding to the epimorphism $\nu : \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H) \to \mathbb{F}_1$. Let $h \in \mathrm{Deck}(X_\infty/X') \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$ be a covering transformation corresponding to the C-generator $x \in \mathbb{F}_1$. We say that h is the *monodromy of a Hurwitz curve H*. The space X' will be considered as the quotient space $X' = X_\infty/\mathbb{F}_1$. In such a situation Milnor [17] considered an exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \to C.(X_\infty) \xrightarrow{h-id} C.(X_\infty) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} C.(X') \to 0$$

which gives an exact homology sequence

$$\ldots \to H_1(X_\infty) \xrightarrow{h-id} H_1(X_\infty) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_1(X') \to H_0(X_\infty) \to 0 \qquad (45)$$

(We often write h instead of h_*, if it does not lead to a misunderstanding).

If $G_n \subset \mathbb{F}_1$ is an infinite cyclic group generated by h^n, then $X'_n = X_\infty/G_n$ and $X' = X'_n/\mu_n$, where $\mu_n = \mathbb{F}_1/G_n$ is the cyclic group of order n. Denote by h_n an automorphism of X'_n induced by the monodromy h. Then h_n is a generator of the covering transformation group $\mathrm{Deck}(X'_n/X') = \mu_n$ acting on X'_n. We apply the sequence

$$\ldots \to H_1(X_\infty) \xrightarrow{h^n-id} H_1(X_\infty) \xrightarrow{g_{n,*}} H_1(X'_n) \to H_0(X_\infty) \to 0 \qquad (46)$$

constructed in the same way as (45) to the infinite cyclic covering $g_n = g_{\infty,n} : X_\infty \to X'_n$, to analyse the group $H_1(X'_n)$.

Denote by $H_1(X_\infty, \mathbb{C})_n$ the subspace of $H_1(X_\infty, \mathbb{C})$ corresponding to the eigenvalues λ of h_* which are n-th roots of unity and denote by $H_1(X_\infty, \mathbb{C})_{n,\neq 1}$ the subspace corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$ of h which are n-th roots of unity. Obviously, $\dim H_1(X_\infty, \mathbb{C})_n = r_n$ and $\dim H_1(X_\infty, \mathbb{C})_{n,\neq 1} = r_{n,\neq 1}$, where r_n (resp. $r_{n,\neq 1}$) is the number of roots of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of the Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ which are $n-th$ roots of unity (resp. not equal to 1). Note that by Lemma 5.7 and Theorem 5.9,

$$r_n - r_{n,\neq 1} = r_1 = \#\{\text{irreducible components of}\, \bar{H}\} - 1.$$

Proposition 6.1. *We have*

(*i*) $\dim H_1(X'_n, \mathbb{C}) = r_n + 1$,
(*ii*) $\dim H_1(X'_n, \mathbb{C})_1 = r_1 + 1 = \#\{$*irreducible components of* $\bar{H}\}$.

Proof. This follows from the exact sequence (46). ■

Let $\bar{H}$ be a Hurwitz curve consisting of k irreducible components $\bar{H}_1, \ldots, \bar{H}_k$. Choose a line $L \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ belonging to the pencil of lines (with respect to which $\bar{H}$ is defined) and transversely intersecting the curve H. Denote by γ_i a circle of small radius in L with center at one of the intersection points $H_i \cap L$. It is easy to see that the cycles $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$, corresponding to the chosen loops, form a basis in $H_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H, \mathbb{Z})$ and are independent of the choice of the line L. Let $\bar{\gamma}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, be a cycle in $H_1(X'_n, \mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to a simple path $f_n^{-1}(\gamma_i)$.

Lemma 6.2. *The cycles* $\bar{\gamma}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, *are linear independent in* $H_1(X'_n, \mathbb{Z})$ *and form a basis in* $H_1(X'_n)_1$.

Proof. Obviously, all $\bar\gamma_i$ are invariant under the action h_n. Now the proof follows from Proposition 6.1 (ii) and from the remark that under the homomorphism $(f_n)_* : H_1(X'_n, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H, \mathbb{Z})$ we have $(f_n)_*(\bar\gamma_i) = n\gamma_i$. ■

In the notations of the proof of Theorem 4.1, the covering f_n can be extended to a map $\widetilde f_n : \widetilde X_n \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ branched along $\bar H$ and, maybe, along $\overline{L}_\infty$. Here $\widetilde X_n$ is a closed four dimensional variety locally isomorphic over a singular point of $\bar H$ to a complex analytic singularity given by an equation $w_1^n = \widetilde F_1(u_1, v_1)$, where $\widetilde F_1(u_1, v_1) = \prod(v_1 - v_{1,j}(u_1))$ and the product is taken over those branches of $\bar H$ for which the closure contains the singular point of $\bar H$. In addition, $\widetilde X_n$ is locally isomorphic over a neighbourhood of an intersection point of $\bar H$ and L_∞ to the singularity given by $w_2^n = (v_2 - e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}})u^d$, where d is the smallest non-negative integer for which $m+d$ is divisible by n. The variety $\widetilde X_n$, if $\widetilde f_n^{-1}(L_\infty) \subset \operatorname{Sing} \widetilde X_n$, can be normalized (as in the algebraic case) and we obtain a covering $\widetilde f_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}} : \widetilde X_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}} \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ in which $\widetilde X_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}}$ is a singular analytic variety at its finitely many singular points. One can resolve them and obtain a smooth manifold $\overline{X}_n$. Let $\sigma : \overline{X}_n \to \widetilde X_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}}$ be a resolution of the singularities, $E = \sigma^{-1}(\operatorname{Sing} \widetilde X_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}})$, and $\overline{f}_n = \widetilde f_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}} \circ \sigma$. Denote by $R_i = \widetilde f_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}}^{-1}(\bar H_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $R_\infty = \widetilde f_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}}^{-1}(L_\infty)$. Note that the restriction of $\widetilde f_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}}$ to each R_i, $i = 1, \dots, k$, is one-to-one and the restriction of $\widetilde f_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}}$ to R_∞ is a n_0-sheeted cyclic covering, where $n_0 = \mathrm{GCD}(n, d)$ and the ramification index of $\widetilde f_{n,\,\mathrm{norm}}$ along R_∞ is equal to $n_\infty = \frac{n}{n_0}$. As in the algebraic case, it is easy to show that R_∞ is irreducible. Denote by $\overline{R}_i = \sigma^{-1}(R_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k, \infty$, the proper transform of R_i.

We have the embeddings $i_1 : X'_n \hookrightarrow X_n = \overline{X}_n \setminus E$ and $i_2 : X_n \hookrightarrow \overline{X}_n$.

Lemma 6.3. *The induced homomorphism* $i_{1*} : H_1(X'_n) \to H_1(X_n)$ *is an epimorphism with* $\ker i_{1*} = H_1(X'_n)_1$.

Proof. We have

$$X'_n = X_n \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^k \overline{R}_i) \cup \overline{R}_\infty$$

and each $\overline{R}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k, \infty$, is a codimension two submanifold of X_n. Therefore each 1-dimensional cycle $\gamma \subset X_n$ can be moved outside of $(\cup_{i=1}^k \overline{R}_i) \cup \overline{R}_\infty$. Thus, $i_{1,*}$ is an epimorphism.

Let a complex line $L \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ meet L_∞ transversely at $q \in L_\infty \setminus \bar H$ and γ_∞ be a simple small loop around L_∞ lying in L. Then $f_n^{-1}(\gamma_\infty)$ splits into the disjoint union of n_0 simple loops $\bar\gamma_{\infty,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_0$. Since R_∞

is irreducible, each two loops $\bar{\gamma}_{\infty,i}$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{\infty,j}$ belong to the same homology class in $H_1(X'_n)$ (denote it by $\bar{\gamma}_\infty$). Therefore $n_0\bar{\gamma}_\infty \in H_1(X'_n)_1$. Now lemma follows from the remark that $\bar{\gamma}_1, \ldots, \bar{\gamma}_k, \bar{\gamma}_\infty$ generate $\ker i_1$ and $\bar{\gamma}_1, \ldots, \bar{\gamma}_k$ generate $H_1(X'_n)_1$. ■

Lemma 6.4. *The homomorphism $i_{2*} : H_1(X_n, \mathbb{C}) \to H_1(\overline{X}_n, \mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We have $X_n = \overline{X}_n \setminus E$. Denote by $T \subset \overline{X}_n$ a closed regular neighbourhood of E and let ∂T be its boundary, $T' = T \setminus E$, and $T^0 = T \setminus \partial T$. It is known (see, for example, the proof of Proposition 3.4 from [5]) that the homomorphism $i_* : H_1(\partial T, \mathbb{C}) \to H_1(T, \mathbb{C})$, induced by the imbedding $i : \partial T \hookrightarrow T$, is an isomorphism and, besides, there is a deformation retract $T' \searrow \partial T$. Therefore there is a deformation retract $X_n \searrow X_n^0$, where $X_n^0 = X_n \setminus T^0$. Now the lemma follows from the Mayer – Vietories sequence

$$H_2(\overline{X}_n) \to H_1(\partial T) \to H_1(T) \oplus H_1(X_n^0) \to H_1(\overline{X}_n) \to 0.$$

■

The proof of Theorem 0.4 follows from Lemmas 6.3, 6.4 and Proposition 6.1.

Proposition 6.5. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists*

- (*i*) *an irreducible Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_k$ such that a resolution of singularities $\overline{X}_{k,6}$ of the cyclic covering of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ of degree six, branched along $\bar{H}_k$, has first Betti number $b_1(\overline{X}_{k,6}) = 2k$;*
- (*ii*) *a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_k$ consisting of two irreducible components such that the first Betti number $b_1(\overline{X}_{k,6})$ of a resolution of singularities $\overline{X}_{k,6}$ of the cyclic covering of degree six, branched along $\bar{H}$, is equal to k.*

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 5.18, it was shown that the Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of a Hurwitz product $G_{2,3}(k) = G_{2,3}^{\diamond k}$ is equal to $(t^2 - t + 1)^k$ and that the Alexander polynomials $\Delta(t)$ of Hurwitz products $G_{2,3}(2, n) = G(2) \diamond G_{2,3}^{\diamond n}$ and $G_{2,3}(\mathrm{ab}, n) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \diamond G_{2,3}^{\diamond n}$ respectively are equal to $(t-1)(t+1)(t^2-t+1)^n$ and $(1-t)(t^2-t+1)^n$.

The groups $G_{2,3}(k)$, $G_{2,3}(2, n)$, and $G_{2,3}(\mathrm{ab}, n)$ are Hurwitz C-groups. Moreover, one can assume that the degrees of these Hurwitz C-groups are divisible by six (one can take y^6, where y is the product of the C-generators of a Hurwitz C-presentation of a group, and apply Lemma 5.11). Therefore by Theorem 6.2 from [12], each of these groups can be realized as the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H)$ for some Hurwitz curve of degree divisible by six. The curve $\bar{H}$ is irreducible in the case of

$G_{2,3}(k)$ and consists of two irreducible components in the other two cases. Now Theorem 0.4 implies Proposition 6.5. ■

Proposition 6.6. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_k$ which consists of $k+1$ irreducible components, has singularities of the form $w^{2^{3k-1}} - z^{2^{3k-1}} = 0$, and which is the branch curve of a 2-sheeted cyclic covering $\overline{f}_2 : \overline{X}_{k,2} \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ with $b_1(\overline{X}_{k,2}) = k$.*

In particular, the Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_1$ has $\deg \bar{H}_1 = 2^{10}$, the number of singular points of $\bar{H}_1$ is equal to 2^{16}, and all its singular points are of the form $w^4 - z^4 = 0$.

Proof. A Hurwitz product $G(2,k) = G(2)^{\diamond k}$ is a Hurwitz C-group of degree $m = 2^{3k-1}$. By Propositions 5.12 and 5.16, its Alexander polynomial is

$$\Delta_k(t) = (t-1)^k(t+1)^k.$$

By Theorem 6.2 from [12], each group $G(2,k)$ can be realized as the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H_k)$ for some Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_k$ of even degree and having singularities of the form $w^m - z^m = 0$. Since the multiplicity of the root $t = 1$ of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_k(t)$ is equal to k, it follows from Lemma 5.7 and Theorem 5.9 that the curve $\bar{H}_k$ consists of $k+1$ irreducible components.

By Theorem 0.4, the first Betti number $b_1(\overline{X}_{k,2}) = k$, since the multiplicity of the root $t = -1$ of the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_k(t)$ is equal to k.

To prove the existence of a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_1$ with the desired properties, we should find some integer m and a braid monodromy factorization

$$\Delta_m^2 = b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_n$$

of a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}$ such that the group given by the presentation

$$< x_m, \ldots, x_m \mid x_i = b_j(x_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, m,\ j = 1, \ldots, n >$$

is C-isomorphic to $G(2)$.

To find such presentation, let us recall briefly the proof of Theorem 6.2 from [12] and apply it to calculate the invariants of a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_1$ for which $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus H_1) \simeq G(2)$.

The group $G(2)$ is given by presentation (40). Consider the group

$$G_{4,4} \simeq < x_1, \ldots, x_4 \mid x_i = \Delta_4^2(x_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, 4 >, \qquad (47)$$

where Δ_4 is the Garside element in Br_4. The braid Δ_4^2 is the braid monodromy of the singularity given by $w^4 - z^4 = 0$ and $s_0 = \Delta_4^2$ (the factorization with a single factor) is the braid monodromy factorization of four lines in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ passing through a fixed point.

To obtain presentation (40), we should add the relations

$$x_2^2 x_1 x_2^{-2} = x_4, \;\; x_4^2 x_2 x_4^{-2} = x_2, \;\; x_3 = x_2 \tag{48}$$

to presentation (47). For this, using notations and notions from [12], in the beginning one should perform the doubling (see Theorem 3.2 from [12]) of the braid monodromy factorization $s_0 = \Delta_4^2$ several times in order to have a possibility to move apart the generators $x_1, \ldots, x_4$ and to change each relation from (48) by the relations $x_i = x_{i+4}$ and relations of the form $x_i = b(x_i)$, where b is a braid conjugated to the standard generator of a braid group. It is easy to see that in our case it suffices to perform the doubling two times and we obtain the braid monodromy factorization $s_1 = d^2(s_0)$ of Δ_{16}^2 (the doubling $d^2(s_0)$ is defined in [12] by formula (25)), each factor of which is either conjugated to Δ_4^2 or conjugated to a standard generator of Br_{16}, and the group

$$< x_1, \ldots, x_{16} \mid x_i = b(x_i), \;\; i = 1, \ldots, 16, \;\; \text{and } b \text{ is a factor of } s_1 >$$

is C-isomorphic to $G_{4,4}$. The number of factors of s_1 conjugated to Δ_4^2 is equal to 4^2.

Then, to add the relations (48) to presentation (47), one can use Lemma 3.4 from [12] three times and obtain a braid monodromy factorization s_2 of $\Delta_{2^{10}}^2$, each factor of which is either conjugated to Δ_4^2 or conjugated to a standard generator of $\mathrm{Br}_{2^{10}}$. The number of factors of s_2 conjugated to Δ_4^2 is equal to 4^8. The factorization s_2 is a braid monodromy factorization of a Hurwitz curve $\bar{H}_1$, $\deg \bar{H}_1 = 2^{10}$, $\bar{H}_1$ has 4^8 singular points of the form $w^4 - z^4 = 0$, and $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus H) \cong G(2)$ by construction of s_2. ■

References

[1] Auroux D.: *Symplectic 4-manifolds as branched coverings of* $\mathbb{P}^2$. Invent. Math. **139** (2000), 551–602.

[2] Auroux D., Katzarkov L.: *Branched coverings of* $\mathbb{P}^2$ *and invariants of symplectic 4-manifolds.* Invent. Math. **142** (2000), 631–673.

[3] Burde G., Zieschang H.: *Knots.* Walter de Gruyter, Berlin · New York, (1985).

[4] Crowell R., Fox R.: *Knot Theory.* Ginn, Boston, 1963.

[5] Dimca A.: *Singularities and Topology of Hypersurfaces.* Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[6] Esnault E.: *Fibre de Milnor d'un cone sur une courbe plane singuliére.* Invent. Math. **68** (1982), 477–496.

[7] Kharlamov V., Kulikov Vik.S.: *On braid monodromy factorizations.* Izv. Math. **67:3** (2003), 499–534.

[8] Kohno T.: *An algebraic computation of the Alexander polynomial of a plane algebraic curve.* Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **59** (1983), 94–97.
[9] Kulikov V.S., Kulikov Vik.S.: *On the monodromy and mixed Hodge structure on cohomology of the infinite cyclic covering of the complement to a plane algebraic curve.* Izv. Math. **59:2** (1995), 367–386.
[10] Kulikov Vik. S.: *Alexander polynomials of plane algebraic curves.* Izv. Math. **42:1** (1994), 67–90.
[11] Kulikov Vik. S.: *Geometric realization of C-groups.* Izv. Math. **45:1** (1995), 197-206.
[12] Kulikov Vik.S.: *Full twist factorization formula for double number of strings.* Izv. Math., **68:1** (2004).
[13] Kulikova O.V.: *On the fundamental groups of the complements of Hurwitz curves.* ArXiv math. SG/0409027, submitted to Izv. Math.
[14] Lê Dũng Tráng: *Sur les noeuds algébriques*, Compositio Math. **25** (1972), 281-321.
[15] Libgober A.: *Alexander polynomials of plane algebraic curves and cyclic multiple planes*, Duke Math. J., 1982, v. 49, 833-851.
[16] McDuff D. and Salamon D.: *Introduction to Symplectic Topology* (second edition). Oxford Math. Monographs, Clarendon Press · Oxford, 1998.
[17] Milnor J.: *Infinite cyclic covers.* Topology of manifolds, 1968, 115-133.
[18] Moishezon B.: *The arithmetic of braids and a statement of Chisini.* Contemporary Math. **164** (1994), 151-175.
[19] Rolfsen D.: *Knots and Links.* Mathematical Lecture Series **7**, Publish or Perish, 1976.
[20] Randell R.: *Milnor fibres and Alexander polynomials of plane curves.* Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 40. Part 2. (Arcata Singularities Conference), AMS, 1983, 415-420.
[21] Stein, K: *Analytische Zerlegungen komplexer Räume,.* Math. Ann. **132** (1956), 63-93.
[22] Zariski O.: *Algebraic surfaces.* Springer-Verlag, 1971.

Kaiserslautern University
E-mail address: greuel@mathematik.uni-kl.de

Steklov Mathematical Institute
E-mail address: kulikov@mi.ras.ru